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| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | all standard mathematical |  |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | signs, symbols and |  |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | abbreviations |  |
| hectare | ha | abbreviations | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | coefficient of variation | CV |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| milliliter | mL | at | @ | confidence interval | CI |
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| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | correlation coefficient |  |
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| gallon | gal | copyright | © | degree (angular) | - |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | expected value | E |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | greater than | $>$ |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | less than | < |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | logarithm (natural) | 1 n |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | minute (angular) | , |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | not significant | NS |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat. or long. | percent | \% |
| minute | $\min$ | monetary symbols |  | probability | P |
| second | S | (U.S.) <br> months (tables and | \$, ¢ | probability of a type I error (rejection of the null |  |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,...,Dec | probability of a type II error |  |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark | ${ }^{\circledR}$ | (acceptance of the null |  |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | second (angular) | " |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | standard deviation | SD |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | standard error | SE |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | variance |  |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative log of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | population sample | Var var |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter |  |  |
| parts per thousand | $\mathrm{ppt},$ |  | abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA) |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

Genetic mixed-stock analysis of sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) harvested in the commercial purse seine fisheries was used to estimate the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in southern Southeast Alaska over a 4 -year period (2018-2021). The commercial fisheries in management Districts 103 and 104 were targeted because they are terminal to Klawock Lake and most of the commercial harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon probably occurs in those districts. We further split District 103 into 2 spatial strata: Northern District 103 (subdistricts 50-90) and Southern District 103 (subdistricts 11-40). The harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was highest in Northern District 103 in 3 of 4 years (2018-2020). The total commercial harvest (Districts 103 and 104) of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was 2,619 fish in $2018,5,523$ fish in 2019 , 3,352 fish in 2020, and 6,677 fish in 2021. These data, combined with escapement and subsistence harvests, were used to produce the first estimates of total run size for Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. The total run size varied from year to year, with 13,147 fish in 2018, 14,953 fish in 2019, 19,702 fish in 2020, and 13,600 fish in 2021. Similarly, overall harvest rates varied from $43.9 \%$ in 2018 to $59.9 \%$ in 2021 (average $=50.9 \%$ ). Commercial harvest rates were higher in odd years ( $43.0 \%$ ) than even years ( $18.5 \%$ ), presumably due to increased fishing pressure on more abundant odd-year pink salmon. The subsistence harvest rate averaged $20.1 \%$ across all years and averaged higher ( $27.9 \%$ ) than the commercial harvest rate in even years.


Keywords: Klawock Lake, sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka, Southeast Alaska, Prince of Wales Island, genetic stock identification, mixed-stock analysis, MSA, purse seine fishery, SNP

## INTRODUCTION

Klawock Lake is located on the west coast of Prince of Wales Island, just north of Craig, Southeast Alaska ( $55^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 18.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 132^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 37.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Figure 1). The Klawock Lake sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) run historically provided one of the most important subsistence resources for the Tlingit people of central Prince of Wales Island (Langdon 1977; Ratner et al. 2006) and still supports one of the largest subsistence fisheries in Southeast Alaska (Cartwright and Conitz 2006). From 2002 to 2017, an average $69 \%$ of the reported Klawock Lake sockeye salmon subsistence harvest was taken by residents of Klawock, and $91 \%$ was harvested by residents of Klawock and Craig combined. During that same period, the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon run provided the largest single source of sockeye salmon for both communities - an average $75 \%$ of the reported subsistence harvest of sockeye salmon by Klawock residents, and an average $47 \%$ of the reported subsistence harvest of sockeye salmon by Craig residents.

Like many other large sockeye salmon runs in Southeast Alaska, the Klawock Lake run was subjected to intensive commercial exploitation in the late 1800s and early 1900s. Commercial harvest records from 1886 to 1927 indicate annual sockeye salmon harvests in the Klawock River estuary averaged 40,000 fish, with a maximum harvest of 75,000 fish in 1899 (Moser 1899; Rich and Ball 1933). Weir counts conducted from 1930 to 1938, the only information on escapements from that period, averaged 30,000 fish (range: 7,000-65,000 fish; Orrell et al. 1963). Although little information exists regarding Klawock Lake sockeye salmon until the late 1900s, information on subsistence harvest and escapements in recent decades suggests the run is much smaller now than it was historically (Conitz 2010), and the run appears to have declined to very low levels in the past 10 years.

The most complete recent information regarding Klawock Lake sockeye salmon runs was obtained from Alaska Department of Fish \& Game (ADF\&G) studies conducted from 2001 to 2008 in cooperation with the Klawock Cooperative Association and USDA Forest Service. These studies included annual estimates of spawning escapement (weir counts and mark-recapture studies) and survey estimates of subsistence harvest (Conitz 2010). During that period, escapements averaged 16,200 sockeye salmon and estimated subsistence harvests averaged 4,400 sockeye salmon
(Table 1). The estimated terminal run size (escapement plus subsistence harvest) averaged 20,600 sockeye salmon, and subsistence harvest rates on the terminal run averaged $21 \%$ (Table 1).
ADF\&G manages subsistence salmon fisheries in Southeast Alaska under the terms of subsistence fishing permits ( 5 AAC 01.730), and, since 1985, subsistence users have been required to return permits with a record of their harvest. The reported permit harvest tends to under-represent the true community harvest when compared to information generated from surveys (Walker 2009); for example, the reported permit harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon averaged approximately $60 \%$ of the harvest estimated from on-the-grounds surveys conducted during 2001-2008 (Table 1; Conitz 2010). Harvests reported on subsistence permits still provide useful information about trends in harvest over time (Geiger et al. 2007). The reported subsistence permit harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon averaged 4,190 fish in the 1990s, declined $30 \%$ to an average 2,880 fish from 2000-2010, and declined a further $52 \%$ to an average of only 1,390 fish from 2011 to 2017-a total decline of $69 \%$. Spawning escapements also recently declined from an average 17,100 fish from 2001 to 2010 to an average 5,700 fish from 2011 to 2017-a decline of $67 \%$-including the smallest recorded escapement of only 1,086 fish in 2013 (Table 1).

The reasons for the decline in Klawock Lake sockeye salmon abundance, both from historical levels and in recent decades, are not well understood (Woll and Prussian 2016) but could be the result of both natural and anthropomorphic causes. Similar recent declines have also been observed in other well-monitored sockeye salmon stocks in the region. Widely dispersed sockeye salmon populations at Chilkat Lake (northern Southeast Alaska), McDonald Lake (southern Southeast Alaska), and the Nass and Skeena Rivers (northern British Columbia) were all more abundant in the 1980s-1990s than in recent decades (Figure 2), although they experience different rearing environments, migrate through different commercial fisheries, and experience different harvest rates. These common trends suggest the recent decline of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon abundance may in part be a response to changes in broad-scale ocean conditions. In addition, the Klawock Lake run may be one of the most heavily impacted sockeye salmon runs in Southeast Alaska, as a result of decades of large-scale logging of the Klawock River drainage, road building in the drainage, construction of a highway along the lake shore and estuary, development along the lake shore at the mouth of the primary spawning tributary, operation of a salmon hatchery in the river (including various failed attempts at sockeye salmon enhancement), and additional factors that have potentially affected the quality of sockeye salmon spawning and rearing environments in the Klawock system (Cartwright and Conitz 2006; Ratner et al. 2006; Conitz 2010; Stopha 2016; Woll and Prussian 2016).
A significant gap in understanding this decline is the nearly complete lack of information regarding the contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to the mixed-stock commercial purse seine fisheries prosecuted annually along the west coast of Prince of Wales Island (Figure 1). Sockeye salmon are not targeted in these purse seine fisheries, which are managed based on inseason assessments of pink salmon (O. gorbuscha) run strength (Clark et al. 2006); however, the sockeye salmon harvest can be substantial, particularly in District 104, where, on average, mixed-stock harvests are composed of sockeye salmon from Alaska (28\%), the Canadian Nass and Skeena Rivers (55\%), and other stocks (17\%; Andrew W. Piston, ADF\&G, Pacific Salmon Commission Northern Boundary Technical Committee, unpublished data 2007-2016, personal communication). Klawock Lake sockeye salmon must migrate through these purse seine fisheries on their return migration and, although the Klawock sockeye salmon harvest has been assumed to
represent "a very small, incidental component" of the total sockeye salmon harvest (Conitz 2010), commercial harvest rates and migratory timing are not known.

The need to better understand the commercial harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon, one of the suspected primary sources of mortality on the stock, was identified as a high priority by local and regional stakeholders at the Klawock Lake Sockeye Salmon Stakeholder meeting held in Klawock 14-15 November 2017 ${ }^{1}$. Information on the commercial harvest would contribute to a better understanding of all the possible factors in the decline of this important resource. Subsequently, ADF\&G initiated a study to estimate the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in commercial purse seine fisheries for 4 years (2018-2021) through genetic mixed-stock analysis of sampled harvests. Although Klawock Lake sockeye salmon are probably harvested in at least very small numbers in commercial fisheries throughout southern Southeast Alaska, this study focused on management Districts 103 and 104, which are terminal to Klawock Lake and where most of the commercial harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon likely occurs.

## OBJECTIVES

- Estimate the annual contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to commercial purse seine fishery harvests in Southern District 103 (subdistricts 11-40) in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, such that the estimates are within $7 \%$ of the true value with $90 \%$ probability.
- Estimate the weekly contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to commercial purse seine fishery harvest in northern subdistricts of District 103 (subdistricts 50-90) in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021, such that the estimates are within $7 \%$ of the true value with $90 \%$ probability.
- Estimate the weekly contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to commercial purse seine fishery harvests in District 104 (outer coast of Prince of Wales Island) in 2018, 2019, 2020 , and 2021 , such that the estimates are within $7 \%$ of the true value with $90 \%$ probability.


## METHODS

Meeting the objectives of this study required collecting sockeye salmon tissue samples and associated data from commercial purse seine fishery salmon landings, processing and analyzing tissue samples, and conducting statistical analyses to estimate stock contributions. This project benefited from ongoing U.S.-Canada genetic stock identification studies (Guthrie et al. 2019; GilkBaumer 2021), which are conducted annually to estimate stock contributions in commercial fisheries in support of the Pacific Salmon Treaty, and from the existing ADF\&G Commercial Port Sampling Program (Guthrie et al. 2019; Gilk-Baumer 2021). Modifications to these programs were required in order to estimate harvests of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. Specifically, additional samples were collected each week to ensure genetic estimates would meet precision requirements outlined in the objectives. According to sample theory, under the worst-case scenario (stocks contributing equal proportions) a sample of 205 fish is sufficient to provide weekly estimates of the relative proportions of each reporting group within $7 \%$ of the true value $90 \%$ of the time (Thompson 1987). Similarly, the sample size will allow for a total seasonal estimate of matching precision. The sampling goal was set to a maximum of 400 sockeye salmon per week from District 103 and 104 purse seine salmon landings to ensure samples were representative of the harvest and

[^0]to ensure sufficient samples were collected at various ports (Ketchikan and Petersburg). Commercial harvests were summarized by ADF\&G statistical weeks, which begin on Sunday at 12:01 AM and end the following Saturday at midnight. Statistical weeks are numbered sequentially starting from the beginning of the calendar year (Appendix A).

## District 103 OVERVIEW AND SAMPLING STRATEGY

District 103 encompasses the waters between District 104 and the west coast of Prince of Wales Island (Figure 1). The district is essentially pinched in half at Tlevak Strait, near the northern end of Dall Island. Purse seine fisheries in District 103 are managed inseason based on the strength of domestic pink salmon runs. Purse seine fishery openings in District 103 typically start the last week of July and may extend to the first week of September, depending on pink salmon abundance. Over the 10-year period 2008-2017, sockeye salmon accounted for an average $1 \%(18,000$ fish $)$ and pink salmon accounted for an average $95 \%$ ( $3,475,000$ fish) of the total purse seine salmon harvest in District 103. Sockeye salmon harvests in District 103 are generally larger in the northern portion of the district, north of Tlevak Strait, than in the southern portion of the district. Over the 10-year period 2008-2017, an average 14,396 sockeye salmon (range: 950-50,221 fish) were harvested in the northern portion of District 103 and 3,874 sockeye salmon (range: 905-9,425 fish) were harvested in the southern portion of District 103 (Appendix B). During that same period, $>90 \%$ of the sockeye salmon harvest occurred during a 5 -week period, from approximately late July to late August.

Stock composition of District 103 sockeye salmon purse seine harvests has been estimated annually through U.S.-Canada genetic stock identification studies. Sockeye salmon tissue samples collected from the District 103 harvest are processed and analyzed at the ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory (GCL). For treaty purposes, sockeye salmon contributions to the District 103 fishery are reported to the following 4 reporting groups: Alaska, Nass, Skeena, and Other. For domestic purposes, this is further increased to 6 reporting groups with the addition of Hugh Smith Lake and McDonald Lake (Appendix G). Thus, stock composition estimates do not normally include Klawock Lake sockeye salmon as a reporting group. In addition, the current U.S.-Canada sampling goal is limited to a small sample of 490 fish from the entire district over the entire season (Buettner et al. 2017). In order to meet the objectives of this study, District 103 was stratified into 2 areas: southern District 103 (subdistricts 11-40; Figure 1) and Northern District 103 (Subdistricts 50-90; Figure 1), sample sizes were increased, and sampling in Northern District 103 was stratified by statistical week.

## Southern District 103

Seasonal estimates rather than weekly estimates were sufficient to quantify the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Southern District 103, due to the relatively smaller sockeye salmon harvests in that area.

## Northern District 103

Northern District 103 encompasses the area immediately terminal to Klawock Lake through which all Klawock Lake sockeye salmon must migrate; therefore, sampling was stratified by week in order to provide weekly estimates of stock contribution, which could potentially provide valuable information on run timing through the area. Analysis was conducted for each of the 5 consecutive weeks with the largest sockeye salmon harvests (typically late July-late August).

## District 104 Overview and SAMPLING STRATEGY

District 104 encompasses the waters west of the offshore islands located west of Prince of Wales Island from Cape Muzon north to Cape Lynch (Figure 1). Purse seine fisheries in District 104 start the first Sunday in July. During most of July the fishery is managed to comply with provisions in the Pacific Salmon Treaty to achieve a harvest share of $2.45 \%$ of the annual allowable harvest of Canadian Nass and Skeena River sockeye salmon prior to statistical week 31 (Gray et al. 2017; NBTC 2020). From late July through late August the fishery is managed based on the strength of domestic pink salmon runs. Over the 10-year period 2008-2017, sockeye salmon accounted for an average 4\% (223,000 fish; Appendix B) and pink salmon accounted for an average $91 \%(4,899,000$ fish) of the total purse seine salmon harvest in District 104. Sockeye salmon harvests in District 104 are substantially larger than in District 103, due primarily to the high proportion of non-Alaska stocks that migrate through offshore waters.
Weekly stock composition of District 104 sockeye salmon purse seine harvests has been estimated annually through U.S.-Canada genetic stock identification studies. Sockeye salmon tissue samples collected from the District 104 harvest are processed and analyzed at the NOAA Auke Bay Laboratory (ABL) ${ }^{2}$ in Juneau, Alaska. For treaty purposes, sockeye salmon contribution to the District 104 fishery is reported to the following 5 reporting groups: Alaska, Nass, Skeena, Fraser, and Other. The current U.S.-Canada sampling goal of 260 fish per week was sufficient to also estimate the weekly and seasonal contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to the District 104 purse seine harvest; however, additional statistical analysis was required (beyond the normal U.S.-Canada analysis) in order to include Klawock Lake as a separate reporting group (see Statistical Analysis section; the additional analysis was conducted at the ADF\&G GCL).

## SAMPLING Protocols

Sampling protocols helped ensure weekly samples were as representative of harvests as possible to account for fluctuations in harvest and effort over the course of the season. Deliveries of fish with harvests mixed from more than one fishing district were not sampled, no more than 80 samples were collected from a single vessel delivery, no more than 200 samples were collected from a single tender delivery, samples were collected without regard to size or sex of fish, and, whenever possible, samples were systematically collected from the entire hold as the vessel was offloaded to ensure they were representative of the entire delivery. The sex of each sampled fish was determined from examination of dimorphic sexual characteristics (e.g., kype development, belly shape, and trunk depth). In District 104, the length of every fish was measured from mid eye to tail fork to the nearest 5 mm . In District 103, the length of a subset (generally the first 20 sampled) of fish was measured from mid eye to tail fork to the nearest 5 mm . One scale sample was collected from the preferred area of each sampled fish (i.e., above the lateral line on the left side of the fish on a diagonal downward from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin; INPFC 1963) and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Age, sex, and length data were recorded on standardized ADF\&G Age-Sex-Length op-scan data sheets for 2018-2019 and recorded electronically starting in 2020. A 2.5 cm ( 1 inch) piece of the pelvic fin was removed from each fish and placed on a Whatman filter paper card for dry preservation and genetic analysis.

[^1]All tissue cards were shipped to the ADF\&G Scale Aging Laboratory in Douglas, Alaska, along with matching scale samples and associated data for weekly inventory. Tissue cards from District 103 were then shipped to the ADF\&G GCL in Anchorage, Alaska, for postseason analysis. Tissue cards from District 104 were sent to the NOAA ABL for U.S.-Canada treaty analysis. Following analysis, genotype data for each fish was sent to ADF\&G GCL to estimate the contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. Scale samples were inventoried, prepared for postseason analysis (Clutter and Whitesel 1956), and aged at the scale lab (results of age composition analysis will not be reported here).

## ANALYSIS

## LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Laboratory analysis of tissue samples from District 103 were conducted by the ADF\&G GCL following standardized procedures similar to those described by Dann et al. (2012). Genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples using a NucleoSpin 96 Tissue Kit by Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany). DNA was screened for 96 SNPs using Fluidigm 96.96 Dynamic Arrays (www.fluidigm.com). The Dynamic Arrays were read on a Fluidigm EP1 System after amplification and scored using Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis software. If necessary, SNPs were re-screened on a QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies) as a backup method for assaying genotypes. Approximately $8 \%$ of individuals analyzed for this project were re-extracted and genotyped as a quality control measure to identify laboratory errors and to measure rates of inconsistencies during repeated analyses. The quality control analyses were performed by staff not involved in the original genotyping, and the methods are described in detail in Dann et al. (2012). Genotypes were imported and archived in the GCL Oracle database, LOKI.
Laboratory analysis of tissue samples from District 104 were conducted by the NOAA ABL following the methods described in Guthrie et al. (2019). DNA was screened for 48 SNPs, which are a subset of the 96 SNPs used by the GCL (Guthrie et al. 2019). In 2021 DNA was screened for 85 SNPs, which are a subset of the ADF\&G 96 SNPs (Patrick Barry, NOAA, Auke Bay Laboratories, NMFS, Ted Stevens Marine Research Institute, personal communication).

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of District 103 samples was conducted by the ADF\&G GCL. Genotypes in the LOKI database were imported into the statistical program R for analysis ${ }^{3}$. Statistical analysis of District 104 samples was conducted by the NOAA ABL; genotype data were then sent to the ADF\&G GCL in a standard format and imported into the statistical program R for further analysis. Prior to statistical analysis, 3 statistical quality control analyses were performed to ensure highquality data: (1) individuals missing $>20 \%$ of their genotype data (markers) were identified and removed from analyses because this is indicative of low-quality DNA ( $80 \%$ rule; Dann et al. 2012); (2) duplicate individuals were identified as pairs of individuals sharing the same genotype in $95 \%$ of markers and removed; and (3) non-sockeye salmon were identified and removed.

The genetic baseline used by the ADF\&G GCL and NOAA ABL includes populations representing all major sockeye salmon producing systems in Southeast Alaska and northern British Columbia and representative systems in southern British Columbia and the Pacific Northwest (Rogers Olive

[^2]et al. 2018). The baseline used in the 2018 and 2019 analyses consisted of 238 populations. This was increased to 241 populations (Rogers Olive et al. 2018, with minor additions to the Yakutat region) for the 2020 and 2021 analyses (Appendix G). The ADF\&G GCL baseline is characterized for 96 SNPs, whereas the NOAA ABL baseline was characterized for 48 SNPs for 2018-2020 and 85 SNPs for 2021. The Klawock Lake sockeye salmon reporting group is highly identifiable with both 96 SNPs ( $99.1 \%$ correct allocation in repeated $100 \%$ proof tests) and 48 SNPs ( $98.3 \%$ correct allocation in repeated $100 \%$ proof tests). After the baseline update in 2020, further testing was carried out using the R package rubias (Moran and Anderson 2019) to ensure that the 7 reporting groups used here (Alaska, Nass, Skeena, Hugh Smith Lake, McDonald Lake, Klawock Lake, Other; Appendix G) met reporting criteria as described in Barclay et al. (2019).
For District 103, the stock composition for each stratum in each year was estimated using the R package rubias. The rubias package is a Bayesian approach to the conditional genetic stock identification model based upon computationally efficient C code implemented in $R$. It uses a parametric bootstrap approach to identify and correct for biases in reporting group estimates. Specifically, mixture samples in similar proportions to the stock composition estimate are simulated using leave-one-out cross-validation and analyzed to determine reporting group bias. For each mixture analysis, a single Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chain with 25,000 iterations was run with 100 parametric bootstraps. The first 5,000 iterations of the chain were discarded to remove the influence of starting values. The prior parameters for each reporting group were defined to be equal (i.e., a flat prior). Within each reporting group, the population prior parameters were divided equally among the populations within that reporting group. Stock composition estimates and the $90 \%$ credibility intervals for each mixture were calculated by taking the mean and $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ quantiles of the posterior distribution from the single chain output. The analysis was used to tabulate summary statistics from these distributions to describe stock compositions.

For District 104, from 2018-2020, the stock composition for each stratum was estimated using the program BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). For each stratum, 7 MCMC chains starting at various stock proportions were run with 10,000 iterations and the first 5,000 discarded as burn-in. Stock proportions were configured such that $95 \%$ of the populations came from one reporting group with weights equally distributed among the populations. The remaining $5 \%$ was equally distributed across all other reporting groups. The prior parameters for each population were defined to be equal (i.e., a flat prior) (see Guthrie et al. 2019 for more analysis details). In 2021, NOAA ABL switched analysis methods from BAYES to the R package rubias. This is the same method used by ADF\&G GCL to estimate stock composition in District 103; however, the settings differed slightly. Specifically, ABL staff ran rubias with 70,000 MCMC iterations and discarded the first 35,000 as burn-in. Prior parameters were defined in the same manner as ADF\&G GCL (i.e., flat prior). Despite the changes in NOAA's genotyping methods in 2021, and the use of different software for genetic mixed-stock analysis (BAYES vs. rubias), analyses by both labs utilized the same baseline of populations, sufficient genetic markers, and widely accepted analysis methods to accurately and precisely estimate the proportion of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in fisheries mixtures.

For all years, genotype data for analyzed fish in District 104 were sent to ADF\&G GCL, where they were summarized into reporting groups. Estimates by stratum were stratified, using harvest data, to generate a seasonal estimate. Stock composition estimates of commercial harvest were
applied to the reported harvest obtained from ADF\&G fish tickets to quantify stock-specific harvests within each season, 2018-2021.

## Total Run Size

We estimated the total run size for Klawock Lake sockeye salmon using weir count and harvest information. More specifically, we used estimates of stock-specific harvests, calculated using stock composition estimates from the commercial purse seine fisheries, along with estimated subsistence harvest to calculate a total harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. Estimates of stock-specific harvests in the commercial purse seine fishery do not account for statistical weeks with unsampled harvests. The estimated subsistence harvest was calculated by expanding the reported subsistence harvest by 1.67 to account for unreported harvest (Conitz 2010). Fish counts from a weir operated by the Klawock River Hatchery (Southern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association) near the outlet of Klawock Lake were used to estimate the escapement of adult sockeye salmon. We note that escapement values are based solely on adult sockeye salmon and do not include jacks (ocean-age-1 male fish). Total harvest and escapement were added to obtain the estimated total run size each year. Harvest rates were calculated by dividing the total run size by the total harvest for each harvest type. Commercial harvest outside of the District 103 and 104 purse seine fisheries was not included due to lack of stock-specific harvest data.

## RESULTS

## 2018

## Sample Size

Sample sizes obtained in 2018 were adequate for producing stock composition estimates for (1) a seasonal estimate from Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 31-34, (2) weekly estimates from Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-33, and (3) weekly estimates from District 104 for statistical weeks 29-34 (Table 2). Although there was commercial harvest in Northern District 103 during statistical week 34 ( 1,791 fish), the authors were unable to sample the catch.

## Stock Composition

## Southern District 103

In 2018, the stock composition of the Southern District 103 harvest was estimated for a single stratum consisting of statistical weeks 31-34 (Table 3; Figure 3). Stock composition estimates showed the largest contributor was the Alaska reporting group (99.9\%). Although the Nass, Skeena, McDonald, Hugh Smith, Other, and Klawock reporting groups were represented in the analysis, they were insignificant contributors to the overall stock composition ( $<0.01 \%$ ). Further, the Klawock reporting group had a high probability ( $94.6 \%$ ) of the estimate equaling to zero (i.e., was not present in the sampled harvest).

## Northern District 103

The stock composition of the Northern District 103 harvest was estimated using 509 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures. Estimates were produced for a total seasonal estimate and for 4 statistical weeks (30-33) in which adequate samples were collected (Table 3; Figure 4). For the seasonal estimate, by reporting group, Alaska was the largest contributor ( $49.1 \%$ ), followed by Klawock (32.4\%), Skeena (13.6\%), Other (3.1\%), McDonald (1.2\%), Nass (0.5\%), and Hugh Smith ( $0.1 \%$ ). There was no clear temporal trend across the season in the proportion of Klawock

Lake fish in the overall harvest. Weekly estimates ranged from $16.3 \%$ in statistical week 30 to $43.7 \%$ in statistical week 32.

## District 104

The stock composition of the District 104 harvest was estimated using 2,005 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures. Estimates were produced for 6 statistical weeks (29-34) in which adequate samples were collected (Figure 5). It is worth noting that the sample size in statistical week 33 was low ( $n=40$; Table 2). There was no clear temporal trend in the proportion of Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest for statistical weeks 29-31. Weekly estimates ranged from $2.6 \%$ in statistical week 30 to $7.2 \%$ in statistical week 31 (Table 3; Figure 5). However, during statistical weeks 32-34, Klawock Lake fish were an insignificant contributor ( $<0.01 \%$ ) to the overall harvest.

## Stock-specific Harvest

## Southern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using seasonal harvests in Southern District 103 (Table 3). The total sockeye salmon harvest in Southern District 103 was 9,425 fish. Of these, 9,416 fish were allocated to the Alaska reporting group. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock sockeye salmon in Southern District 103 was one fish (Table 3).

## Northern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in Northern District 103 (Appendix C). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 720 fish in statistical week 31 to 1,804 fish in statistical week 32. The harvest of Klawock-origin sockeye salmon ranged from 183 fish in statistical week 30 to 789 fish in statistical week 32 (Appendix C). The total seasonal harvest of Klawock sockeye salmon in Northern District 103 was 1,756 fish (Table 3).

## District 104

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in District 104 (Appendix C). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 3,758 fish in statistical week 31 to 76,537 fish in statistical week 34. Overall, estimates of the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon were relatively low. For the weeks in which there was a significant contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon to the stock composition, the estimated harvests were between 263 and 324 fish. One or 2 Klawock Lake sockeye salmon were estimated to be harvested in statistical weeks 32-34. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in District 104 was 863 fish (Table 3).

## Total Run Size

In 2018, the total run size of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was 13,147 fish (Table 4). The escapement to Klawock Lake was 7,371 adult sockeye salmon. The estimated total commercial harvest (Districts 103 and 104) was 2,619 fish. The reported subsistence harvest was 1,894 fish. We expanded the reported subsistence rate to account for the approximately $60 \%$ reporting rate increased the subsistence harvest to 3,157 fish. We used the expanded subsistence harvest to calculate total run size. Commercial purse seine fisheries in Districts 103 and 104 accounted for a harvest rate of $19.9 \%$, and the subsistence fishery accounted for a harvest rate of $24.0 \%$. The overall harvest rate on the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon stock was $43.9 \%$.

## 2019

## Sample Size

Sample sizes obtained in 2019 were adequate for producing stock composition estimates for (1) a seasonal estimate from Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 32-34, (2) weekly estimates from Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-34, and (3) weekly estimates from District 104 for statistical weeks $28,29,31,32$, and 33 and a pooled estimate for statistical weeks 34-35 (Table 2). Sampling did not occur in District 104 during statistical week 30 because the fishery was not open. Although there was commercial harvest in Northern District 103 during statistical week 35 ( 80 fish), the authors were unable to sample the catch.

## Stock Composition

## Southern District 103

In 2019, the stock composition of the Southern District 103 harvest was estimated for a single stratum consisting of statistical weeks 32-34 (Figure 6; Table 5). Stock composition estimates showed the largest contributor was the Alaska reporting group ( $98.0 \%$ ), followed by the Skeena $(1.8 \%)$, McDonald $(0.1 \%)$, and Klawock ( $0.1 \%$ ) reporting groups. Although the Nass, Hugh Smith, and Other reporting groups were represented in the analysis, they were insignificant contributors to the overall stock composition ( $<0.01 \%$ ). It is worth noting that the Klawock reporting group had a high probability ( $82.3 \%$ ) of the estimate equaling to zero (i.e., was not present in the sampled harvest).

## Northern District 103

The stock composition of the Northern District 103 harvest was estimated using 611 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for a total seasonal estimate and for 5 statistical weeks (30-34) in which adequate samples were collected (Table 2; Figure 7; Appendix D). For the seasonal estimate, by reporting group, Alaska was the largest contributor ( $40.8 \%$ ), followed by Klawock ( $25.9 \%$ ), Skeena ( $24.4 \%$ ), Other ( $4.3 \%$ ), McDonald ( $2.8 \%$ ), Nass (1.7\%), and Hugh Smith ( $0.1 \%$ ). There was no clear temporal trend across the season in the proportion of the Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest. Klawock Lake sockeye salmon appeared relatively consistently throughout the entirety of the sampling period and estimates ranged from $19.0 \%$ in statistical week 32 to $33.0 \%$ in statistical week 33 .

## District 104

The stock composition of the District 104 harvest was estimated using 1,594 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for 5 statistical weeks (28, 29, 31,32 , and 33 ) in which adequate samples were collected and one pooled stratum (statistical weeks 34-35; Figure 8; Appendix D). Estimates of the proportion of Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest were low and there was no clear temporal trend observed for statistical weeks 28-31. Weekly estimates ranged from $1.1 \%$ in statistical week 29 to $3.1 \%$ in statistical week 28 . However, during statistical weeks 32-35, the Klawock reporting group was not a major contributor ( $<0.02 \%$ ) to the overall stock composition.

## Stock-specific Harvest

## Southern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using seasonal harvests in Southern District 103 (Table 4). The total sockeye salmon harvest in Southern District 103 was 6,807 fish. Of these, 6,669 fish were allocated to the Alaska reporting group. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Southern District 103 was 4 fish (Table 5).

## Northern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in Northern District 103 (Appendix D). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 630 fish in statistical week 30 to 6,714 fish in statistical week 32 . The harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon ranged from 150 fish in statistical week 30 to 2,031 fish in statistical week 33 . The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Northern District 103 was 4,488 fish (Table 5).

## District 104

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in District 104 (Appendix D). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 959 fish in statistical week 28 to 99,530 fish in statistical week 33 . Overall, estimates of the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon were relatively low. The largest estimated harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in District 104 occurred in statistical week 31 ( 868 fish). This was followed by statistical week 29 ( 91 fish) and statistical week 28 ( 29 fish). The estimated harvest in statistical weeks 32, 33 , and the pooled weeks 34-35 were less than 20 fish each. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock sockeye salmon in District 104 was 1,020 fish (Table 5).

## Total Run Size

In 2019, the total run size of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was 14,942 fish (Table 4). The escapement to Klawock Lake was 7,368 adult sockeye salmon. The estimated total commercial harvest (Districts 103 and 104) was 5,512 fish. The reported subsistence harvest was 1,237 fish. We expanded the reported subsistence rate to account for the approximately $60 \%$ reporting rate increased the subsistence harvest to 2,062 fish. We used the expanded subsistence harvest to calculate total run size. Commercial purse seine fisheries in Districts 103 and 104 accounted for a harvest rate of $36.9 \%$ and the subsistence fishery accounted for a harvest rate of $13.8 \%$. The overall harvest rate on the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon stock was $50.7 \%$.

## 2020

## Sample Size

Sample sizes obtained in 2020 were adequate for producing stock composition estimates for the following: (1) seasonal estimate from Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-34, (2) a weekly estimate from Northern District 103 for statistical week 30 and pooled weekly estimates for statistical weeks 31-32 and 33-34, and (3) weekly estimates from District 104 for statistical weeks 30-34 (Table 2).

## Stock Composition

## Southern District 103

In 2020, the stock composition of the Southern District 103 harvest was estimated for a single stratum consisting of statistical weeks 30-34 (Figure 9; Table 6). Stock composition estimates showed the largest contributor was the Alaska reporting group (51.7\%), followed by the Skeena (38.5\%), Other (4.8\%), McDonald (4.4\%), Klawock ( $0.5 \%$ ), and Nass ( $0.12 \%$ ) reporting groups. Although the Hugh Smith reporting group was represented in the analysis, it did not contribute to the overall stock composition.

## Northern District 103

The stock composition of the Northern District 103 harvest was estimated using 532 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for a total seasonal estimate, for statistical week 30, and pooled statistical weeks 31-32 and 33-34 (Table 2; Figure 10). For the seasonal estimate, by reporting group, Skeena was the largest contributor (40.3\%), followed by Alaska (38.2\%), Klawock (10.8\%), Other (5.3\%), Nass (3.9\%), McDonald $(0.7 \%)$, and Hugh Smith $(0.7 \%)$. There was no clear temporal trend across the season in the proportion of the Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest. Klawock Lake sockeye salmon appeared relatively consistently throughout the entirety of the sampling period and estimates ranged from $17.8 \%$ in pooled statistical weeks $33-34$ to $8.6 \%$ in pooled statistical weeks $31-32$ (Appendix E).

## District 104

The stock composition of the District 104 harvest was estimated using 1,475 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for 5 statistical weeks (30-34) in which adequate samples were collected (Figure 11). Estimates of Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest were low and there was no clear temporal trend observed across the season. The estimated proportion of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in the harvest in statistical week 31 was $1.6 \%$ and was less than $0.1 \%$ in the remaining statistical weeks (Appendix E).

## Stock-specific Harvest

## Southern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using seasonal harvests in Southern District 103 (Table 6). The total sockeye salmon harvest in Southern District 103 was 1,176 fish. Of these, 608 fish were allocated to the Alaska reporting group and 453 fish were of Skeena origin. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Southern District 103 was 6 fish (Table 6 ).

## Northern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in Northern District 103 (Appendix E). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 2,319 fish in statistical week 30 to 16,896 fish in statistical week 31-32. The harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon ranged from 363 fish in statistical week 30 to 1,452 fish in statistical week 31-32. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Northern District 103 was 2,457 fish (Table 6).

## District 104

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in District 104 (Appendix E). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 6,923 fish in statistical week 30 to 54,157 fish in statistical week 31 . Overall, estimates of the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon were relatively low. The largest estimated harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in District 104 occurred in statistical week 31 ( 870 fish). The estimated harvest in statistical weeks $30,32,33$, and 34 were less than 15 fish each. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in District 104 was 889 fish (Table 6).

## Total Run Size

In 2020, the total run size of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was 19,702 fish (Table 4). The escapement to Klawock Lake was 10,058 adult sockeye salmon. The estimated total commercial harvest (Districts 103 and 104) was 3,352 fish. The reported subsistence harvest was 3,775 fish. We expanded the reported subsistence rate to account for the approximately $60 \%$ reporting rate increased the subsistence harvest to 6,292 fish. We used the expanded subsistence harvest to calculate total run size. Commercial purse seine fisheries in Districts 103 and 104 accounted for a harvest rate of $17.0 \%$, and the subsistence fishery accounted for a harvest rate of $31.9 \%$. The overall harvest rate on the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon stock was $48.9 \%$.

## 2021

## Sample Size

Sample sizes obtained in 2021 were adequate for producing stock composition estimates for (1) seasonal estimate from Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-36, (2) weekly estimates from Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 33, 35, and 36, and (3) weekly estimates from District 104 for statistical weeks 29-36 (Table 2). Although there was commercial harvest in Northern District 103 during statistical weeks 30 ( 441 fish), 31 ( 925 fish), 32 (5,264 fish), and 34 ( 3,748 fish), the authors were unable to sample the catch.

## Stock Composition

## Southern District 103

In 2021, the stock composition of the Southern District 103 harvest was estimated for a single stratum consisting of statistical weeks 30-36 (Figure 12; Table 7). Stock composition estimates showed the largest contributor was the Skeena reporting group (48.6\%), followed by the Alaska (47.2\%), Nass (1.6\%), McDonald (1.1\%), Klawock (1.0\%), and Other ( $0.5 \%$ ) reporting groups. Although the Hugh Smith reporting group was represented in the analysis, it did not contribute to the overall stock composition.

## Northern District 103

The stock composition of the Northern District 103 harvest was estimated using 376 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for a total seasonal estimate and for 3 weekly estimates from statistical weeks 33, 35, and 36 (Table 7; Figure 13). For the seasonal estimate, by reporting group, Skeena was the largest contributor (41.8\%), followed by Alaska (27.7\%), Klawock (13.6\%), Other (13.3\%), McDonald (1.3\%), Nass (1.2\%), and Hugh Smith (1.1\%). There was no clear temporal trend across the season in the proportion of Klawock Lake fish in the overall harvest. Klawock Lake sockeye salmon estimates ranged from $3.0 \%$ in statistical week 36 to $25.3 \%$ in statistical week 35 .

## District 104

The stock composition of the District 104 harvest was estimated using 1,865 sockeye salmon that passed quality control measures (Table 2). Estimates were produced for 8 statistical weeks (29-36) in which adequate samples were collected (Table 7; Figure 14). Estimates of the stock composition of the Klawock reporting group were relatively low. Similar to the previous study period (2018-2020), there was no clear temporal trend in the abundance of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in the harvests except for the lack of fish later in the season (e.g., statistical weeks 35 and 36). Statistical week 33 saw the highest contribution of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon (4.5\%) followed by statistical weeks $30(1.5 \%)$, 29 ( $1.3 \%$ ), 32 ( $1.2 \%$ ), and 34 ( $0.7 \%$ ). The estimated proportion of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was $<0.01 \%$ in statistical weeks 31, 35, and 36.

## Stock-specific Harvest

## Southern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using seasonal harvests in Southern District 103 (Appendix F). The total sockeye salmon harvest in Southern District 103 was 2,894 fish. Of these, 1,406 fish were of Skeena origin and 1,367 were assigned to the Alaska reporting group. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Southern District 103 was 28 fish (Table 7).

## Northern District 103

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in Northern District 103 (Appendix F). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 1,885 fish in statistical week 36 to 7,332 fish in statistical week 33. The harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon ranged from 56 fish in statistical week 36 to 1,451 fish in statistical week 35 . The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Northern District 103 was 2,027 fish (Table 7).

## District 104

The stock-specific harvest was calculated for the 7 reporting groups using weekly harvests in District 104 (Appendix F). The total harvest varied by week ranging from 15,249 fish in statistical week 29 to 138,502 fish in statistical week 32. The largest estimated harvest of Klawock sockeye salmon in District 104 occurred in statistical week 33 and was 1,922 fish. This was followed by statistical week 32 ( 1,608 fish), statistical week 30 ( 521 fish), statistical week 34 ( 368 fish), and statistical week 29 ( 203 fish). The estimated harvest in statistical weeks 31, 35, and 36 were 1 or fewer fish each. The total seasonal harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in District 104 was 4,623 fish (Table 7).

## Total Run Size

In 2021, the total run size of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon was 13,600 fish (Table 4). The escapement to Klawock Lake was 5,460 adult sockeye salmon. The estimated total commercial harvest (Districts 103 and 104) was 6,677 fish, which does not include unsampled harvests from statistical weeks 30-32 and statistical week 34. The reported subsistence harvest was 878 fish. After expanding the reported subsistence rate to account for the approximately $60 \%$ reporting rate, the subsistence harvest increased to 1,463 fish. The expanded subsistence harvest was used to calculate total run size. Commercial purse seine fisheries in Districts 103 and 104 accounted for a harvest rate of $49.1 \%$, and the subsistence fishery accounted for a harvest rate of $10.8 \%$. The overall harvest rate on the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon stock was $59.9 \%$.

## DISCUSSION

Stock composition and stock-specific harvest estimates from Districts 103 and 104, throughout the study period, were accurate and successful. However, the statistical weeks in which there were sufficient samples for analysis varied each year. Small harvests in District 103 made it difficult to obtain weekly samples throughout the duration of the fishery, particularly in Northern District 103 in 2021. An additional source of difficulty was the mixing of harvest onboard tenders. Tender vessels occasionally bought fish harvested in adjacent areas or districts and combined them in the same fish hold, making it impossible to obtain samples that were purely from Northern or Southern District 103. Although fish from mixed statistical areas within District 103 are still useful for determining the stock composition in District 103 as a whole, it wasn't possible to use them to answer specific questions revolving around Klawock Lake sockeye salmon (e.g., stock-specific harvest by northern and southern sections).

After the 2018 season, the difficulties of collecting additional samples for this project became clear and plans were made to hire an employee to sample onboard a tender for the remainder of the project. The goal was to have the tender rider intercept and sample fish as they were being delivered, thus eliminating the mixed delivery issue. Unfortunately, in 2019, it wasn't possible to find an employee suited for the job. Furthermore, in 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic made it impossible to employ a tender rider, as processors did not allow extra, nonessential staff onboard their vessels. After 2020, it was determined that the tender rider was not necessary. To overcome any shortages, statistical weeks were pooled to determine whether there were not enough samples in a given week. Although this is not as fine scale as initially desired (i.e., weekly estimates), it was sufficient for investigating the harvest of Klawock-origin sockeye salmon.

In 3 of 4 years, (2018-2020), the harvest of Klawock-origin sockeye salmon was higher in District 103 than in District 104. Within District 103, the harvest of Klawock-origin sockeye salmon was substantially higher in Northern District 103 than in Southern District 103. This was not entirely surprising given the terminal nature of the Northern District to Klawock Lake. This finding highlights the importance of splitting stock composition estimates for District 103 into 2 sections. In doing so, it was possible to identify fine-scale spatial trends in the harvests. By identifying smaller sections within the district, management decisions can be made on a much smaller scale, rather than across broad geographic areas of the entire district.
Although spatial differences are useful in fine-tuning management, it is also useful to examine temporal trends within each area. For example, if a stock of interest is known to appear in harvests later in the season, earlier purse seine openings may be useful in providing opportunity while avoiding the particular stock. However, across all 4 years of the study, it was not possible to identify clear temporal trends in the harvest of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon in Northern District 103. It appears that Klawock-origin sockeye salmon are present in varying proportions throughout the duration of the fishery.
Taken together, the estimated stock-specific harvests along with escapement to Klawock Lake and reported subsistence harvest facilitated the first estimates of total run size and allowed an understanding of the total harvest rate of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. The total run size varied from year to year, with a minimum of 13,147 fish in 2018 and a maximum of 19,702 fish in 2020. The overall harvest rates varied from $43.9 \%$ in 2018 to $59.9 \%$ in 2021. Similarly, harvest rates of the commercial purse seine fisheries in Districts 103 and 104 varied widely from $17.0 \%$ in 2020, to $49.1 \%$ in 2021. The purse seine fleet was provided more fishing time in odd years compared to
even years to harvest larger odd-year pink salmon runs, particularly in District 103 (Gray et al. 2019; Thynes et al. 2020, 2021); as a result, the commercial harvest rate on Klawock Lake sockeye salmon averaged higher in odd years ( $43.0 \%$ ) compared to even years ( $18.5 \%$ ). During even years, the average commercial harvest rate ( $18.5 \%$ ) was less than the average subsistence harvest rate ( $27.9 \%$ ). Although the commercial fishery harvest rates of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon vary, they contribute a significant portion to the overall harvest rate on the stock.

The $50.7 \%$ average overall harvest rate on Klawock Lake sockeye salmon during the study period is substantial in relation to the probable long-term sustainable harvest rate on the stock. The sustainable harvest rate at maximum sustained yield (MSY) can be estimated for stocks with sufficient data to develop a Ricker stock-recruitment model (Ricker 1954; Hilborn and Walters 1992). This harvest rate, referred to as $U_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, is the average harvest rate that is sustainable at the level of escapement and brood year return that corresponds to MSY as estimated from the model. Harvest rates that are chronically larger than $U_{\text {MSY }}$ increase the likelihood of reduced abundance in future returns. Stock-recruitment estimates of $U_{\text {MSY }}$ for Southeast Alaska sockeye salmon stocks range from about 54\% to 75\% (Eggers et al. 2009; Eggers and Bernard 2011; Heinl et al. 2014; Brenner et al. 2018; Miller and Heinl 2018; Miller and Pestal 2020; Heinl et al. 2021), just above the estimated average harvest rate observed for Klawock Lake sockeye salmon. Although we do not have the data required to directly estimate $U_{\text {MSY }}$ for the Klawock Lake sockeye salmon run, estimates for other Southeast Alaska stocks suggest caution at harvesting at rates higher than those observed during the study period.

A major finding of this study is that Klawock-origin sockeye salmon are present in the commercial harvest, in varying degrees, throughout the fishing season. Given the spatial differences between Northern and Southern District 103, we believe that if management action were to be taken, the best opportunity for reducing commercial harvest of Klawock-origin sockeye salmon would be in Northern District 103. That said, as mentioned previously, there were no clear temporal trends, so management actions at a weekly level may be difficult to implement successfully.

The information gained from this study fills in a gap in the collective knowledge base of Klawockorigin sockeye salmon (i.e., stock-specific harvests and harvest rates from commercial fisheries) and we hope that continued work on this important stock will shed light into their recent declines. Although we do not have funding to run additional samples, we plan to implement our District 103 sampling scheme from the past 4 years moving forward. The additional samples will be stored in the ADF\&G GCL and will be available for analysis in the future.
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TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.-Escapement, subsistence harvest, and estimated terminal run size of Klawock Lake sockeye salmon, 1985-2017.

| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reported } \\ \text { subsistence } \\ \text { harvest } \end{array}$ | Subsistence permits returned | Estimated subsistence harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Estimated terminal run size ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Subsistence harvest rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | - | 2,336 | 138 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | - | 2,762 | 156 | - | - | - |
| 1987 | - | 2,118 | 117 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | - | 1,851 | 96 | - | - | - |
| 1989 | - | 3,048 | 120 | - | - | - |
| 1990 | - | 2,631 | 100 | - | - | - |
| 1991 | - | 1,989 | 77 | - | - | - |
| 1992 | - | 4,322 | 133 | - | - | - |
| 1993 | - | 5,763 | 162 | - | - | - |
| 1994 | - | 4,848 | 133 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | - | 3,489 | 118 | - | - | - |
| 1996 | - | 5,553 | 159 | - | - | - |
| 1997 | - | 4,746 | 126 | - | - | - |
| 1998 | - | 4,670 | 125 | - | - | - |
| 1999 | - | 3,506 | 124 | - | - | - |
| 2000 | - | 3,015 | 113 | - | - | - |
| 2001 | 14,000 | 4,433 | 130 | 6,400 | 20,400 | 31\% |
| 2002 | 13,631 | 3,778 | 116 | 6,000 | 19,631 | 31\% |
| 2003 | 21,300 | 3,195 | 91 | 6,000 | 27,300 | 22\% |
| 2004 | 12,442 | 2,697 | 80 | 4,500 | 16,942 | 27\% |
| 2005 | 14,840 | 238 | 34 | 175 | 15,015 | 1\% |
| 2006 | 14,757 | 1,859 | 65 | 3,100 | 17,857 | 17\% |
| 2007 | 17,500 | 2,042 | 57 | 2,600 | 20,100 | 13\% |
| 2008 | 21,165 | 3,000 | 70 | 6,700 | 27,865 | 24\% |
| 2009 | 19,699 | 4,296 | 127 | - | - | - |
| 2010 | 21,549 | 3,260 | 99 | - | - | - |
| 2011 | 4,301 | 2,079 | 76 | - | - | - |
| 2012 | 2,228 | 2,327 | 68 | - | - | - |
| 2013 | 1,086 | 1,071 | 53 | - | - | - |
| 2014 | 5,911 | 1,182 | 54 | - | - | - |
| 2015 | 7,696 | 549 | 29 | - | - | - |
| 2016 | 6,210 | 1,423 | 49 | - | - | - |
| 2017 | 12,535 | 1,100 | 37 | - | - | - |

Note: en dashes $(-)=$ no data available.
a Escapements from 2001 to 2009 are from Bednarski (2010); escapements from 2010 to 2017 are weir counts (minus jacks) courtesy of Jeff Lundberg, Klawock River Hatchery, Southern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Association.
b Subsistence harvest estimated from on-the-grounds surveys, 2001-2008 (Conitz 2010).
c Estimated terminal harvest is the escapement plus the estimated subsistence harvest.

Table 2.-Sample size ( $n$ ) and harvest used to estimate the stock composition of the commercial purse seine fishery by year, statistical week, and management district, 2018-2021.

| Year | District | Statistical week | $n$ | Harvest | Year | District | Statistical week | $n$ | Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | D103S | 31-34 | 188 | 9,425 | 2020 | D103S | 30-34 | 90 | 1,176 |
| 2018 | D103N | 30 | 142 | 1,120 | 2020 | D103N | 30 | 188 | 2,319 |
| 2018 | D103N | 31 | 115 | 720 | 2020 | D103N | 31-32 | 180 | 16,896 |
| 2018 | D103N | 32 | 149 | 1,804 | 2020 | D103N | 33-34 | 164 | 3,600 |
| 2018 | D103N | 33 | 103 | 1,777 | 2020 | D104 | 30 | 280 | 6,923 |
| 2018 | D103N | 34 | 0 | 1,791 | 2020 | D104 | 31 | 380 | 54,127 |
| 2018 | D104 | 29 | 475 | 7,558 | 2020 | D104 | 32 | 400 | 48,634 |
| 2018 | D104 | 30 | 437 | 12,185 | 2020 | D104 | 33 | 155 | 21,002 |
| 2018 | D104 | 31 | 397 | 3,758 | 2020 | D104 | 34 | 260 | 13,161 |
| 2018 | D104 | 32 | 259 | 10,770 | 2021 | D103S | 30-36 | 174 | 2,894 |
| 2018 | D104 | 33 | 40 | 10,557 | 2021 | D103N | 30 | 0 | 441 |
| 2018 | D104 | 34 | 397 | 76,537 | 2021 | D103N | 31 | 0 | 925 |
| 2019 | D103S | 32-34 | 181 | 6,807 | 2021 | D103N | 32 | 0 | 5,264 |
| 2019 | D103N | 30 | 180 | 630 | 2021 | D103N | 33 | 180 | 7,332 |
| 2019 | D103N | 31 | 92 | 2,611 | 2021 | D103N | 34 | 0 | 3,748 |
| 2019 | D103N | 32 | 170 | 6,714 | 2021 | D103N | 35 | 99 | 5,740 |
| 2019 | D103N | 33 | 97 | 6,150 | 2021 | D103N | 36 | 97 | 1,885 |
| 2019 | D103N | 34 | 72 | 1,201 | 2021 | D104 | 29 | 298 | 15,249 |
| 2019 | D103N | 35 | 0 | 80 | 2021 | D104 | 30 | 305 | 34,055 |
| 2019 | D104 | 28 | 192 | 959 | 2021 | D104 | 31 | 344 | 117,498 |
| 2019 | D104 | 29 | 338 | 8,440 | 2021 | D104 | 32 | 265 | 138,502 |
| 2019 | D104 | 31 | 296 | 74,565 | 2021 | D104 | 33 | 263 | 42,828 |
| 2019 | D104 | 32 | 258 | 60,490 | 2021 | D104 | 34 | 130 | 55,722 |
| 2019 | D104 | 33 | 260 | 99,530 | 2021 | D104 | 35 | 130 | 65,857 |
| 2019 | D104 | 34-35 | 250 | 27,009 | 2021 | D104 | 36 | 130 | 26,693 |

[^3]Table 3.-Annual estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 South (103S), 103 North (103N), and 104, 2018. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.

| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 103S | 31-34 | Alaska | 100 | 98.6 | 100 | 0 | 99.9 | 0.7 | 9,425 | 9,290 | 9,425 | 9,416 | 62 |
|  |  | Nass | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 89.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | Skeena | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 79.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 19 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 65.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 6 | 39 |
|  |  | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 91.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 41 |
|  |  | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 9,425 |  |
| 103N | 30-33 | Alaska | 49.1 | 45.2 | 53.1 | 0 | 49.1 | 2.4 | 2,664 | 2,449 | 2,878 | 2,663 | 130 |
|  |  | Nass | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 23 | 6 | 56 | 26 | 16 |
|  |  | Skeena | 13.6 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 0 | 13.6 | 1.3 | 735 | 626 | 855 | 737 | 69 |
|  |  | Other | 3.0 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 0 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 164 | 86 | 257 | 167 | 52 |
|  |  | McDonald | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 61 | 30 | 106 | 64 | 23 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 12 |
|  |  | Klawock | 32.4 | 28.6 | 36.2 | 0 | 32.4 | 2.3 | 1,755 | 1,552 | 1,962 | 1,756 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 5,421 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| $104$ | 29-34 | Alaska | 12.3 | 10.6 | 14.4 | 0 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 14,973 | 12,901 | 17,454 | 15,044 | 1,385 |
|  |  | Nass | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 0 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3,783 | 2,531 | 5,571 | 3,880 | 938 |
|  |  | Skeena | 35.0 | 32.2 | 37.8 | 0 | 35.0 | 1.7 | 42,433 | 39,126 | 45,842 | 42,456 | 2,041 |
|  |  | Other | 48.0 | 45.1 | 50.9 | 0 | 48.0 | 1.7 | 58,224 | 54,720 | 61,714 | 58,226 | 2,118 |
|  |  | McDonald | 0.3 | 0 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 313 | 0 | 846 | 352 | 257 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 0.4 | 0 | 1 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 487 | 0 | 1,257 | 544 | 411 |
|  |  | Klawock | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 854 | 620 | 1,138 | 863 | 159 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 121,365 |  |

[^4]a The total does not include 1,791 fish harvested in statistical week 34 .

Table 4.-Estimated Klawock Lake sockeye salmon total run size and harvest rates, 2018-2021.

| Year | Estimated commercial harvest | Reported subsistence harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Adjusted subsistence harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total estimated harvest | Escapement ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total <br> run | Commercial harvest rate | Subsistence harvest rate | Total harvest rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 2,619 | 1,894 | 3,157 | 5,776 | 7,371 | 13,147 | 19.9\% | 24.0\% | 43.9\% |
| 2019 | 5,512 | 1,237 | 2,062 | 7,574 | 7,368 | 14,942 | 36.9\% | 13.8\% | 50.7\% |
| 2020 | 3,352 | 3,775 | 6,292 | 9,644 | 10,058 | 19,702 | 17.0\% | 31.9\% | 48.9\% |
| 2021 | 6,677 | 878 | 1,463 | 8,140 | 5,460 | 13,600 | 49.1\% | 10.8\% | 59.9\% |
| Average | 4,540 | 1,946 | 3,244 | 7,784 | 7,564 | 15,348 | 29.6\% | 21.1\% | 50.7\% |

a The reported subsistence harvest as of 19 August 2022.
b Reported subsistence harvest expanded by 1.67 based on Conitz (2010).
c Escapement equals total weir count of non-jack sockeye salmon; data provided by the Klawock River Hatchery.

Table 5.-Annual estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 South (103S), 103 North (103N), and 104, 2019. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.


[^5]Table 6.-Annual estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 South (103S), 103 North (103N), and 104, 2020. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.


Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

Table 7.-Annual estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 South (103S), 103 North (103N), and 104, 2021. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.

| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 103S | 30-36 | Alaska | 47.3 | 41.0 | 53.5 | 0 | 47.2 | 3.8 | 1,369 | 1,186 | 1,547 | 1,367 | 111 |
|  |  | Nass | 1.4 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 40 | 6 | 110 | 46 | 32 |
|  |  | Skeena | 48.6 | 42.4 | 54.8 | 0 | 48.6 | 3.8 | 1,406 | 1,226 | 1,586 | 1,406 | 111 |
|  |  | Other | 0.3 | 0 | 2 | 31.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 8 | 0 | 58 | 14 | 22 |
|  |  | McDonald | 0.7 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 22 | 7 | 95 | 33 | 31 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 76.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  | Klawock | 0.7 | 0 | 3.1 | 19.4 | 1 | 1.1 | 21 | 0 | 89 | 28 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2,894 |  |
| 103N | 33,35,36 | Alaska | 27.6 | 23.9 | 31.6 | 0 | 27.7 | 2.4 | 4,127 | 3,574 | 4,731 | 4,136 | 355 |
|  |  | Nass | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 168 | 61 | 363 | 185 | 96 |
|  |  | Skeena | 41.7 | 37.8 | 46.2 | 0 | 41.8 | 2.5 | 6,242 | 5,648 | 6,905 | 6,257 | 379 |
|  |  | Other | 13.3 | 10.8 | 16.0 | 0 | 13.3 | 1.6 | 1,988 | 1,614 | 2,388 | 1,991 | 241 |
|  |  | McDonald | 1.3 | 0 | 2.9 | 15.7 | 1.3 | 1 | 200 | 0 | 441 | 198 | 143 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 0.8 | 0 | 3.3 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 120 | 1 | 497 | 164 | 165 |
|  |  | Klawock | 13.5 | 10.3 | 16.9 | 0 | 13.6 | 2 | 2,017 | 1,546 | 2,520 | 2,027 | 301 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 14,957 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 104 | 29-36 | Alaska | 8.5 | 7.3 | 9.9 | 0 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 42,432 | 36,448 | 49,133 | 42,561 | 3,852 |
|  |  | Nass | 8.4 | 7.1 | 9.8 | 0 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 41,709 | 35,484 | 48,648 | 41,835 | 4,005 |
|  |  | Skeena | 56.6 | 54.4 | 58.8 | 0 | 56.6 | 1.4 | 281,027 | 269,807 | 292,090 | 280,987 | 6,762 |
|  |  | Other | 21.1 | 19.4 | 22.9 | 0 | 21.1 | 1.1 | 104,717 | 96,067 | 113,767 | 104,783 | 5,369 |
|  |  | McDonald | 1.7 | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 8,619 | 4,821 | 13,421 | 8,802 | 2,615 |
|  |  | Hugh Smith | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 12,680 | 7,590 | 18,484 | 12,812 | 3,317 |
|  |  | Klawock | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 4,468 | 2,632 | 7,119 | 4,623 | 1,384 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 496,404 |  |

Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.
a The total does not include 10,378 fish harvested in statistical weeks $30,31,32$, and 34 .


Figure 1.-Map of Prince of Wales Island showing the locations of Klawock Lake and commercial fishing areas in Management District 104 (blue), Northern Management District 103 (yellow; subdistricts 50-90), and Southern Management District 103 (green; subdistricts 11-40).


Figure 2.-Annual total runs (thousands) of Chilkat Lake, Skeena River, and Nass River sockeye salmon and annual escapements (thousands) of McDonald Lake sockeye salmon, 1976-2021. The solid black line shows 5 -year running average. Note that all 4 sockeye salmon populations exhibit similar long-term trends in abundance-generally more abundant in the 1980s-1990s and less abundant in recent decades. (Nass and Skeena River data provided by Andrew W. Piston, ADF\&G, Pacific Salmon Commission, Northern Boundary Technical Committee, unpublished data; Chilkat data updated from Ransbury et al. 2021; McDonald Lake data are unpublished ADF\&G data.)

## Southern District 103, 2018



Figure 3.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 31-34, 2018.

## Northern District 103, 2018



Figure 4.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-33, 2018.

## District 104, 2018



Figure 5.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for District 104 for statistical weeks 29-34, 2018.

## Southern District 103, 2019



Figure 6.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 32-34, 2019.

## Northern District 103, 2019



Figure 7.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-34, 2019.

## District 104, 2019



Figure 8.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for District 104 for statistical weeks 28-35, 2019.

Southern District 103, 2020


Figure 9.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-34, 2020.

## Northern District 103, 2020



Figure 10.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-34, 2020.

District 104, 2020


Figure 11.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for District 104 for statistical weeks 30-34, 2020.

## Southern District 103, 2021



Figure 12.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Southern District 103 for statistical weeks 30-36, 2021.

## Northern District 103, 2021



Figure 13.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for Northern District 103 for statistical weeks 33-36, 2021.

## District 104, 2021



Figure 14.-Mean stock composition and $90 \%$ credible interval of the commercial purse seine fishery for District 104 for statistical weeks 29-36, 2021.

## APPENDICES

Appendix A.-ADFG statistical weeks (sampling periods) and corresponding calendar dates, 2018-2021.

| Statistical week | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Start date | End date | Start date | End date | Start date | End date | Start date | End date |
| 27 | 1-Jul | 7-Jul | 30-Jun | 6 -Jul | 28-Jun | 4-Jul | 27-Jun | 3-Jul |
| 28 | 8 -Jul | 14-Jul | 7-Jul | $13-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 5-Jul | 11-Jul | 4-Jul | 10-Jul |
| 29 | 15-Jul | 21-Jul | 14-Jul | 20-Jul | 12-Jul | $18-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 11-Jul | 17-Jul |
| 30 | 22-Jul | $28-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 21-Jul | 27-Jul | 19-Jul | 25-Jul | 18-Jul | 24-Jul |
| 31 | 29-Jul | 4-Aug | $28-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 3-Aug | 26-Jul | 1-Aug | $25-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 31-Jul |
| 32 | 5-Aug | 11-Aug | 4-Aug | 10-Aug | 2-Aug | 8-Aug | 1-Aug | 7-Aug |
| 33 | 12-Aug | 18-Aug | 11-Aug | 17-Aug | 9-Aug | 15-Aug | 8-Aug | 14-Aug |
| 34 | 19-Aug | 25-Aug | 18-Aug | 24-Aug | 16-Aug | 22-Aug | 15-Aug | 21-Aug |
| 35 | 26-Aug | 1-Sep | 25-Aug | 31-Aug | 23-Aug | 29-Aug | 22-Aug | 28-Aug |
| 36 | 2-Sep | 8 -Sep | 1-Sep | 7-Sep | 30-Aug | 5-Sep | 29-Aug | 4-Sep |
| 37 | 9-Sep | $15-\mathrm{Sep}$ | 8-Sep | 14-Sep | 6-Sep | 12-Sep | 5-Sep | 11-Sep |

Note: A new statistical week always begins on a Sunday.

Appendix B.-Commercial purse seine harvest of sockeye salmon by statistical week in management Districts 104 and 103 (north and south), 2008-2017. (Dashes indicate weeks when no fishery occurred.)

|  | Management District 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Week $^{\text {a }}$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Avg. |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | 372 | - | - | - | - | - | 372 |
| 28 | 376 | 914 | 326 | 2,130 | 1,504 | 5,152 | 21,410 | 6,387 | 27,951 | - | 7,350 |
| 29 | 2,531 | 3,097 | 2,800 | 9,287 | 8,488 | 3,250 | 31,860 | 5,844 | 71,681 | 7,492 | 14,633 |
| 30 | 3,355 | 11,960 | 1,491 | 13,863 | 7,936 | 4,700 | 61,105 | 31,642 | 10,714 | 4,544 | 15,131 |
| 31 | 8,252 | 50,177 | 3,010 | 37,917 | 8,184 | 11,408 | 137,734 | 134,450 | 71,087 | 19,349 | 48,157 |
| 32 | 10,323 | 7,288 | 3,175 | 109,375 | 26,728 | 15,995 | 208,272 | 144,861 | 177,143 | 16,269 | 71,943 |
| 33 | 9,721 | 18,947 | 3,417 | 23,091 | 13,946 | 25,454 | 106,425 | 77,730 | 32,687 | 9,662 | 32,108 |
| 34 | 5,488 | 10,410 | 1,435 | 2,403 | 4,636 | 10,873 | 87,533 | 63,456 | 14,726 | 20,025 | 22,099 |
| 35 | 1,059 | 6,578 | 1,744 | 2,480 | 599 | 5,202 | 47,502 | 29,916 | - | 19,182 | 12,696 |
| 36 | 49 | - | 453 | 1,958 | - | 848 | - | - | - | 1,501 | 962 |
| Total | 41,154 | 109,371 | 17,851 | 202,504 | 72,393 | 82,882 | 701,841 | 494,286 | 405,989 | 98,024 | 222,630 |


|  | Management District 103: Northern Subdistricts $50-90$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Week | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Avg. |
| 30 | - | 378 | - | - | - | 696 | 1,977 | 86 | 2,797 | 529 | 1,077 |
| 31 | 589 | 6,785 | - | - | 107 | 1,977 | 4,791 | 1,279 | 616 | 33 | 2,022 |
| 32 | 412 | 3,722 | 20 | 6,764 | 1,154 | 1,115 | 3,520 | 24,260 | 5,216 | 1,240 | 4,742 |
| 33 | 2,103 | 2,443 | 1 | 5,104 | 839 | 1,022 | 7,478 | 10,786 | 769 | 1,171 | 3,172 |
| 34 | 1,165 | 2,131 | 657 | 2,127 | 174 | 886 | 7,461 | 6,972 | 62 | 2,726 | 2,436 |
| 35 | 203 | 991 | 272 | 1,467 | - | 735 | 3,259 | 6,019 | - | 2,955 | 1,988 |
| 36 | 71 | - | - | 552 | - | 73 | - | 819 | - | 387 | 380 |
| 37 | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| Total | 4,543 | 16,450 | 950 | 16,028 | 2,274 | 6,504 | 28,486 | 50,221 | 9,460 | 9,041 | 14,396 |


|  | Management District 103: Southern Subdistricts 11-40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Week | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Avg. |
| 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 | 23 | 0 | 744 | - | 271 |
| 31 | 200 | 722 | 35 | - | - | 20 | 1,368 | 310 | 164 | - | 403 |
| 32 | 0 | 1,454 | 485 | 155 | 360 | 305 | 2,844 | 1,168 | 2,330 | 466 | 1,063 |
| 33 | 74 | 1,539 | 1,881 | 1,524 | 502 | 662 | 568 | 2,088 | 3,641 | 1,340 | 1,382 |
| 34 | 585 | 678 | 444 | 1,402 | 181 | 470 | 1,280 | 2,232 | 301 | 1,931 | 950 |
| 35 | 29 | 203 | 427 | 23 | - | 81 | 196 | 431 | - | 232 | 203 |
| 36 | 14 | - | 90 | 7 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 473 | 98 |
| 37 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 38 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Total | 905 | 4,596 | 3,362 | 3,111 | 1,043 | 1,588 | 6,279 | 6,229 | 7,180 | 4,442 | 3,874 |

Note: En dashes (-) indicated weeks where no fishery occurred.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weeks are numbered ADF\&G statistical weeks. Average opening dates are 5 July (week 28) to 6 September (week 37).

Appendix C.-Weekly estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 North (103N) and 104, 2018. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.

| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 103N | 30 | Alaska | 19.8 | 14.1 | 26.4 | 0 | 19.9 | 3.8 | 221 | 157 | 296 | 223 | 43 |
| 103 N | 30 | Nass | 2.0 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 23 | 7 | 56 | 26 | 16 |
| 103N | 30 | Skeena | 46.2 | 39.3 | 53.1 | 0 | 46.2 | 4.2 | 517 | 440 | 594 | 517 | 47 |
| 103 N | 30 | Other | 9.8 | 4.8 | 15.8 | 0 | 9.9 | 3.4 | 110 | 53 | 177 | 111 | 38 |
| 103 N | 30 | McDonald | 5.1 | 2.5 | 8.9 | 0 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 58 | 28 | 100 | 60 | 22 |
| 103 N | 30 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 103 N | 30 | Klawock | 16.1 | 11.2 | 21.8 | 0 | 16.3 | 3.3 | 181 | 126 | 244 | 183 | 36 |
| 103N | 31 | Alaska | 69.8 | 61.9 | 77 | 0 | 69.7 | 4.6 | 503 | 445 | 554 | 502 | 33 |
| 103 N | 31 | Nass | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 103 N | 31 | Skeena | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 8 | 7 |
| 103 N | 31 | Other | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 72.8 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 |
| 103 N | 31 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 103 N | 31 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 103 N | 31 | Klawock | 29.1 | 22.1 | 36.9 | 0 | 29.3 | 4.6 | 209 | 159 | 265 | 211 | 33 |
| 103 N | 32 | Alaska | 45.7 | 38.6 | 53.6 | 0 | 45.9 | 4.4 | 824 | 697 | 967 | 827 | 80 |
| 103 N | 32 | Nass | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| 103 N | 32 | Skeena | 8.9 | 5.6 | 13.1 | 0 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 161 | 100 | 236 | 164 | 42 |
| 103 N | 32 | Other | 0.5 | 0 | 2.4 | 19.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 9 | 0 | 44 | 13 | 16 |
| 103 N | 32 | McDonald | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 8 |
| 103 N | 32 | Hugh Smith | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 7 | 11 |
| 103 N | 32 | Klawock | 43.8 | 36.6 | 51.1 | 0 | 43.7 | 4.4 | 791 | 661 | 922 | 789 | 79 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 103N | 33 | Alaska | 62.8 | 54.0 | 70.4 | 0 | 62.5 | 5.0 | 1,116 | 960 | 1,251 | 1,111 | 89 |
| 103N | 33 | Nass | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 87.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 103N | 33 | Skeena | 2.4 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 43 | 11 | 104 | 48 | 29 |
| 103N | 33 | Other | 2.1 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 37 | 4 | 108 | 43 | 32 |
| 103N | 33 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 103N | 33 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 103N | 33 | Klawock | 32.1 | 24.9 | 40.5 | 0 | 32.3 | 4.8 | 570 | 442 | 719 | 574 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 5,421 |  |
| 104 | 29 | Alaska | 39.6 | 34.9 | 44.3 | 0 | 39.6 | 2.8 | 2,991 | 2,640 | 3,348 | 2,992 | 214 |
| 104 | 29 | Nass | 5.4 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 0 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 407 | 273 | 575 | 413 | 93 |
| 104 | 29 | Skeena | 31.8 | 28.2 | 35.5 | 0 | 31.8 | 2.2 | 2,404 | 2,130 | 2,686 | 2,405 | 169 |
| 104 | 29 | Other | 16.4 | 12.8 | 20.4 | 0 | 16.5 | 2.3 | 1,238 | 970 | 1,544 | 1,244 | 175 |
| 104 | 29 | McDonald | 2.9 | 0 | 5.8 | 21.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 217 | 0 | 435 | 204 | 145 |
| 104 | 29 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 3.0 | 64.1 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 37 | 83 |
| 104 | 29 | Klawock | 3.4 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 257 | 146 | 399 | 263 | 77 |
| 104 | 30 | Alaska | 21.6 | 17.5 | 25.9 | 0 | 21.6 | 2.5 | 2,632 | 2,133 | 3,154 | 2,636 | 309 |
| 104 | 30 | Nass | 5.3 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 0 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 641 | 425 | 930 | 655 | 154 |
| 104 | 30 | Skeena | 53.4 | 49.3 | 57.4 | 0 | 53.4 | 2.5 | 6,503 | 6,003 | 6,994 | 6,502 | 303 |
| 104 | 30 | Other | 12.9 | 9.5 | 16.9 | 0 | 13.0 | 2.3 | 1,572 | 1,155 | 2,059 | 1,584 | 275 |
| 104 | 30 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 73.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 504 | 86 | 178 |
| 104 | 30 | Hugh Smith | 3.2 | 0 | 6.7 | 11.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 394 | 0 | 820 | 398 | 248 |
| 104 | 30 | Klawock | 2.6 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 313 | 141 | 546 | 324 | 124 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 31 | Alaska | 49.0 | 44.0 | 53.9 | 0 | 49.0 | 3.0 | 1,842 | 1,655 | 2,027 | 1,841 | 113 |
| 104 | 31 | Nass | 2.8 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 105 | 55 | 179 | 110 | 38 |
| 104 | 31 | Skeena | 38.2 | 34.0 | 42.4 | 0 | 38.2 | 2.5 | 1,435 | 1,280 | 1,593 | 1,436 | 95 |
| 104 | 31 | Other | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 20 | 2 | 146 | 40 | 48 |
| 104 | 31 | McDonald | 1.1 | 0 | 3.6 | 34.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 40 | 0 | 136 | 47 | 48 |
| 104 | 31 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 71.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 13 | 32 |
| 104 | 31 | Klawock | 7.1 | 4.9 | 9.9 | 0 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 268 | 183 | 372 | 272 | 58 |
| 104 | 32 | Alaska | 29.8 | 24.5 | 35.3 | 0 | 29.8 | 3.3 | 3,207 | 2,641 | 3,799 | 3,212 | 351 |
| 104 | 32 | Nass | 5.2 | 3.1 | 8.0 | 0 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 558 | 333 | 860 | 572 | 161 |
| 104 | 32 | Skeena | 47.4 | 41.9 | 52.8 | 0 | 47.3 | 3.3 | 5,100 | 4,517 | 5,685 | 5,099 | 355 |
| 104 | 32 | Other | 17.2 | 12.5 | 22.7 | 0 | 17.4 | 3.1 | 1,854 | 1,347 | 2,439 | 1,869 | 333 |
| 104 | 32 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 104 | 32 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 82.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 16 | 68 |
| 104 | 32 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 104 | 33 | Alaska | 21.9 | 10.8 | 35.3 | 0 | 22.4 | 7.5 | 2,315 | 1,135 | 3,731 | 2,360 | 795 |
| 104 | 33 | Nass | 8.9 | 3.0 | 18.7 | 0 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 936 | 314 | 1,979 | 1,013 | 517 |
| 104 | 33 | Skeena | 47.5 | 34.3 | 60.9 | 0 | 47.6 | 8.1 | 5,014 | 3,623 | 6,430 | 5,021 | 855 |
| 104 | 33 | Other | 19.5 | 9.3 | 33.8 | 0 | 20.3 | 7.5 | 2,057 | 984 | 3,569 | 2,139 | 793 |
| 104 | 33 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 86 |
| 104 | 33 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 86.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 81 |
| 104 | 33 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 34 | Alaska | 2.4 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1,872 | 603 | 3,828 | 2,004 | 994 |
| 104 | 34 | Nass | 1.3 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 990 | 171 | 2,524 | 1,117 | 741 |
| 104 | 34 | Skeena | 28.7 | 25.0 | 32.6 | 0 | 28.7 | 2.3 | 21,960 | 19,115 | 24,970 | 21,993 | 1,783 |
| 104 | 34 | Other | 67.1 | 62.9 | 71.1 | 0 | 67.1 | 2.5 | 51,359 | 48,179 | 54,437 | 51,349 | 1,907 |
| 104 | 34 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| 104 | 34 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 83.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 560 | 69 | 285 |
| 104 | 34 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 121,365 |  |

Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

Appendix D.-Weekly estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 North (103N) and 104, 2019. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.

| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 103N | 30 | Alaska | 37.7 | 31.4 | 44.4 | 0 | 37.8 | 4.0 | 237 | 198 | 280 | 238 | 25 |
| 103N | 30 | Nass | 3.6 | 1.7 | 6.5 | 0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 23 | 11 | 41 | 24 | 9 |
| 103N | 30 | Skeena | 17.1 | 12.7 | 22.2 | 0 | 17.3 | 2.9 | 108 | 80 | 140 | 109 | 18 |
| 103N | 30 | Other | 10.2 | 6 | 15.5 | 0 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 64 | 38 | 97 | 66 | 18 |
| 103N | 30 | McDonald | 4.5 | 0 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 28 | 0 | 58 | 28 | 18 |
| 103 N | 30 | Hugh Smith | 2.0 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 13 | 2 | 45 | 16 | 15 |
| 103 N | 30 | Klawock | 23.7 | 18.5 | 29.2 | 0 | 23.8 | 3.3 | 150 | 117 | 184 | 150 | 21 |
| 103 N | 31 | Alaska | 47.3 | 37.3 | 57.3 | 0 | 47.2 | 6.0 | 1,234 | 974 | 1,496 | 1,233 | 157 |
| 103 N | 31 | Nass | 2.7 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 70 | 16 | 166 | 79 | 48 |
| 103 N | 31 | Skeena | 14.7 | 9.1 | 21.2 | 0 | 14.8 | 3.7 | 383 | 239 | 553 | 387 | 97 |
| 103 N | 31 | Other | 4.4 | 1.4 | 9.7 | 0 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 115 | 37 | 253 | 126 | 67 |
| 103 N | 31 | McDonald | 0.7 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 19 | 19 | 215 | 70 | 71 |
| 103 N | 31 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 86.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 25 |
| 103 N | 31 | Klawock | 27.3 | 19.5 | 35.9 | 0 | 27.4 | 5.0 | 712 | 510 | 936 | 716 | 131 |
| 103 N | 32 | Alaska | 41 | 34.8 | 47.4 | 0 | 41.0 | 3.8 | 2,753 | 2,334 | 3,183 | 2,756 | 255 |
| 103 N | 32 | Nass | 2.6 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 176 | 64 | 350 | 188 | 89 |
| 103 N | 32 | Skeena | 30.2 | 24.5 | 36.2 | 0 | 30.2 | 3.5 | 2,025 | 1,647 | 2,431 | 2,027 | 237 |
| 103N | 32 | Other | 3.5 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 0 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 234 | 95 | 457 | 251 | 113 |
| 103 N | 32 | McDonald | 3.2 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 217 | 101 | 400 | 229 | 93 |
| 103N | 32 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 103 N | 32 | Klawock | 18.6 | 14.0 | 24.3 | 0 | 18.8 | 3.2 | 1,250 | 941 | 1,632 | 1,264 | 212 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 29 | Alaska | 26.4 | 21.1 | 32.0 | 0 | 26.5 | 3.3 | 2,230 | 1,783 | 2,702 | 2,235 | 280 |
| 104 | 29 | Nass | 13.2 | 9.9 | 17.0 | 0 | 13.3 | 2.2 | 1,114 | 837 | 1,436 | 1,122 | 183 |
| 104 | 29 | Skeena | 33.9 | 29.4 | 38.6 | 0 | 33.9 | 2.8 | 2,860 | 2,481 | 3,256 | 2,864 | 235 |
| 104 | 29 | Other | 25.0 | 20.1 | 30.2 | 0 | 25.0 | 3.1 | 2,109 | 1,694 | 2,547 | 2,112 | 260 |
| 104 | 29 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 |
| 104 | 29 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 81.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 14 | 58 |
| 104 | 29 | Klawock | 1.0 | 0 | 2.7 | 18.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 83 | 0 | 229 | 91 | 75 |
| 104 | 31 | Alaska | 17.0 | 12.1 | 22.4 | 0 | 17.1 | 3.1 | 12,653 | 8,993 | 16,698 | 12,727 | 2,339 |
| 104 | 31 | Nass | 15.7 | 10.9 | 20.2 | 0 | 15.7 | 2.8 | 11,722 | 8,164 | 15,091 | 11,694 | 2,087 |
| 104 | 31 | Skeena | 43.0 | 37.5 | 49.0 | 0 | 43.1 | 3.5 | 32,078 | 27,955 | 36,553 | 32,144 | 2,611 |
| 104 | 31 | Other | 15.9 | 10.8 | 21.6 | 0 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 11,828 | 8,077 | 16,099 | 11,929 | 2,445 |
| 104 | 31 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 8.7 | 49.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 6,461 | 2,158 | 2,482 |
| 104 | 31 | Hugh Smith | 4.3 | 0 | 10.8 | 39.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3,174 | 0 | 8,052 | 3,045 | 3,071 |
| 104 | 31 | Klawock | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 761 | 158 | 1,947 | 868 | 567 |
| 104 | 32 | Alaska | 9.5 | 5.8 | 13.8 | 0 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 5,717 | 3,497 | 8,362 | 5,792 | 1,481 |
| 104 | 32 | Nass | 7.8 | 4.2 | 12.6 | 0 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 4,693 | 2,538 | 7,637 | 4,847 | 1,577 |
| 104 | 32 | Skeena | 52 | 45.7 | 58.1 | 0 | 52.0 | 3.8 | 31,462 | 27,659 | 35,151 | 31,436 | 2,281 |
| 104 | 32 | Other | 28.3 | 23.1 | 33.9 | 0 | 28.4 | 3.3 | 17,118 | 13,966 | 20,531 | 17,166 | 1,992 |
| 104 | 32 | McDonald | 1.9 | 0 | 5.0 | 27.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1,128 | 0 | 3,050 | 1,184 | 1,052 |
| 104 | 32 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 85.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 54 | 312 |
| 104 | 32 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 67 |
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Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

Appendix E.-Weekly estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 North (103N) and 104, 2020. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 30 | Alaska | 9.9 | 6.6 | 13.8 | 0 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 687 | 455 | 957 | 693 | 153 |
| 104 | 30 | Nass | 12.9 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 0 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 895 | 633 | 1,201 | 903 | 174 |
| 104 | 30 | Skeena | 63.5 | 58.0 | 68.6 | 0 | 63.4 | 3.2 | 4,393 | 4,016 | 4,748 | 4,389 | 223 |
| 104 | 30 | Other | 11.5 | 7.8 | 15.7 | 0 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 794 | 538 | 1,084 | 801 | 166 |
| 104 | 30 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 24 |
| 104 | 30 | Hugh Smith | 1.7 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 114 | 11 | 297 | 130 | 88 |
| 104 | 30 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 87.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 15 |
| 104 | 31 | Alaska | 6.3 | 4.0 | 9.2 | 0 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 3,408 | 2,152 | 4,974 | 3,466 | 861 |
| 104 | 31 | Nass | 12.8 | 9.7 | 16.3 | 0 | 12.9 | 2.0 | 6,930 | 5,247 | 8,814 | 6,968 | 1,086 |
| 104 | 31 | Skeena | 69.5 | 65.2 | 73.7 | 0 | 69.5 | 2.6 | 37,649 | 35,286 | 39,905 | 37,628 | 1,399 |
| 104 | 31 | Other | 8.0 | 5.6 | 10.9 | 0 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 4,353 | 3,045 | 5,928 | 4,402 | 881 |
| 104 | 31 | McDonald | 1.3 | 0 | 3.1 | 19.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 693 | 0 | 1,698 | 717 | 558 |
| 104 | 31 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 79.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 928 | 107 | 346 |
| 104 | 31 | Klawock | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 822 | 342 | 1,557 | 870 | 378 |
| 104 | 32 | Alaska | 4.6 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 0 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2,239 | 1,167 | 3,596 | 2,290 | 741 |
| 104 | 32 | Nass | 5.6 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 0 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 2,728 | 1,614 | 4,212 | 2,795 | 797 |
| 104 | 32 | Skeena | 85.7 | 81.5 | 89.4 | 0 | 85.6 | 2.4 | 41,697 | 39,633 | 43,455 | 41,643 | 1,161 |
| 104 | 32 | Other | 3.7 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 0 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 1,796 | 918 | 3,159 | 1,885 | 696 |
| 104 | 32 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| 104 | 32 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 86.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 18 | 117 |
| 104 | 32 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| 104 | 33 | Alaska | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 175 | 40 | 452 | 201 | 132 |
| 104 | 33 | Nass | 6.4 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 0 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 1,341 | 749 | 2,055 | 1,364 | 399 |
| 104 | 33 | Skeena | 77.2 | 72.3 | 81.6 | 0 | 77.1 | 2.8 | 16,209 | 15,189 | 17,147 | 16,194 | 595 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 33 | Other | 15.3 | 11.9 | 19.2 | 0 | 15.4 | 2.2 | 3,215 | 2,510 | 4,034 | 3,236 | 465 |
| 104 | 33 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 104 | 33 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 85.7 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 6 | 31 |
| 104 | 33 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 104 | 34 | Alaska | 2.7 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 356 | 130 | 672 | 372 | 168 |
| 104 | 34 | Nass | 3.6 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 477 | 206 | 858 | 497 | 201 |
| 104 | 34 | Skeena | 81.4 | 76.8 | 85.4 | 0 | 81.3 | 2.6 | 10,712 | 10,112 | 11,246 | 10,700 | 346 |
| 104 | 34 | Other | 11.4 | 8.3 | 15.2 | 0 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 1,506 | 1,087 | 2,004 | 1,520 | 279 |
| 104 | 34 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 104 | 34 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 51.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 262 | 58 | 96 |
| 104 | 34 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 69.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 14 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 143,877 |  |

Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

Appendix F.-Weekly estimates of stock composition (\%) and stock-specific harvest for Districts 103 North (103N) and 104, 2021. Estimates include median, $90 \%$ credibility interval (CRI), the probability that the group estimate is equal to zero ( $P=0$ ), mean, and SD.

| District |  | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  | Median |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
|  | 103N |  | 33 | Alaska | 12.5 | 8.6 | 17 | 0 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 915 | 627 | 1,249 | 925 | 190 |
|  | 103 N |  | 33 | Nass | 2.0 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 144 | 53 | 310 | 160 | 82 |
|  | 103N | 33 | Skeena | 56.7 | 50.8 | 62.8 | 0 | 56.8 | 3.6 | 4,160 | 3,723 | 4,606 | 4,164 | 268 |
|  | 103N | 33 | Other | 16.7 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 0 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 1,223 | 899 | 1,564 | 1,224 | 202 |
|  | 103N | 33 | McDonald | 2.5 | 0 | 5.8 | 20.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 180 | 0 | 422 | 181 | 141 |
|  | 103N | 33 | Hugh Smith | 1.6 | 0.1 | 6.7 | 0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 115 | 6 | 494 | 160 | 164 |
|  | 103N | 33 | Klawock | 6.9 | 4.0 | 10.5 | 0 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 508 | 293 | 773 | 520 | 149 |
|  | 103N | 35 | Alaska | 48.1 | 39.7 | 56.6 | 0 | 48.1 | 5.0 | 2,759 | 2,276 | 3,247 | 2,760 | 288 |
|  | 103N | 35 | Nass | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 127 | 24 | 48 |
|  | 103 N | 35 | Skeena | 25.2 | 18.4 | 32.7 | 0 | 25.3 | 4.4 | 1,447 | 1,056 | 1,876 | 1,455 | 254 |
| y | 103 N | 35 | Other | 0.5 | 0 | 4.0 | 33.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 26 | 0 | 228 | 51 | 91 |
|  | 103N | 35 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 103N | 35 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 103N | 35 | Klawock | 25.2 | 18.1 | 32.8 | 0 | 25.3 | 4.4 | 1,445 | 1,041 | 1,884 | 1,451 | 253 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Alaska | 23.8 | 17.1 | 31.6 | 0 | 23.9 | 4.4 | 448 | 323 | 595 | 451 | 82 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Nass | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 82.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 9 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Skeena | 33.8 | 26.4 | 41.7 | 0 | 33.9 | 4.7 | 638 | 497 | 786 | 638 | 88 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Other | 37.9 | 30.1 | 46.2 | 0 | 38 | 4.9 | 715 | 568 | 871 | 717 | 92 |
|  | 103N | 36 | McDonald | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 6 | 6 | 68 | 17 | 22 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 72.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 19 |
|  | 103N | 36 | Klawock | 2.7 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 51 | 3 | 132 | 56 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 14,957 |  |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | 90\% CRI |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 29 | Alaska | 29.1 | 24.1 | 34.3 | 0 | 29.1 | 3.1 | 4,435 | 3,676 | 5,231 | 4,443 | 473 |
| 104 | 29 | Nass | 2.1 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 321 | 85 | 839 | 378 | 240 |
| 104 | 29 | Skeena | 6.8 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 0 | 7 | 1.8 | 1,044 | 631 | 1,551 | 1,061 | 280 |
| 104 | 29 | Other | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 183 | 30 | 442 | 203 | 128 |
| 104 | 29 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 58.5 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 0 | 175 |
| 104 | 29 | Hugh Smith | 15.2 | 11.6 | 19.2 | 0 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 2,312 | 1,768 | 2,926 | 2,325 | 353 |
| 104 | 29 | Klawock | 44.9 | 39.9 | 49.8 | 0 | 44.9 | 3 | 6,840 | 6,085 | 7,599 | 6,840 | 460 |
| 104 | 30 | Alaska | 23.3 | 19.1 | 27.7 | 0 | 23.3 | 2.6 | 7,928 | 6,502 | 9,443 | 7,942 | 897 |
| 104 | 30 | Nass | 2.4 | 1 | 4.8 | 0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 834 | 337 | 1,650 | 894 | 413 |
| 104 | 30 | Skeena | 3.4 | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1,175 | 544 | 2,030 | 1,215 | 454 |
| 104 | 30 | Other | 1.4 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 481 | 134 | 1,041 | 521 | 283 |
| 104 | 30 | McDonald | 0.1 | 0 | 2.4 | 47.3 | 0.4 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 817 | 125 | 350 |
| 104 | 30 | Hugh Smith | 17.5 | 14 | 21.5 | 0 | 17.6 | 2.3 | 5,974 | 4,769 | 7,318 | 6,001 | 775 |
| 104 | 30 | Klawock | 51 | 46.2 | 55.8 | 0 | 51 | 2.9 | 17,352 | 15,727 | 19,002 | 17,357 | 996 |
| 104 | 31 | Alaska | 11.8 | 8.6 | 15.4 | 0 | 11.9 | 2.1 | 13,850 | 10,122 | 18,138 | 13,960 | 2,454 |
| 104 | 31 | Nass | 9 | 6.4 | 12.2 | 0 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 10,602 | 7,554 | 14,373 | 10,736 | 2,076 |
| 104 | 31 | Skeena | 2.6 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 3,095 | 884 | 6,157 | 3,261 | 1,616 |
| 104 | 31 | Other | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 78.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 0 | 191 |
| 104 | 31 | McDonald | 2.8 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3,341 | 635 | 6,601 | 3,442 | 1,811 |
| 104 | 31 | Hugh Smith | 11.3 | 8.6 | 14.5 | 0 | 11.4 | 1.8 | 13,263 | 10,082 | 16,989 | 13,359 | 2,105 |
| 104 | 31 | Klawock | 61.9 | 57.5 | 66.2 | 0 | 61.9 | 2.7 | 72,780 | 67,528 | 77,829 | 72,741 | 3,138 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 32 | Alaska | 5.6 | 3.2 | 8.7 | 0 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 7,717 | 4,439 | 12,027 | 7,907 | 2,318 |
| 104 | 32 | Nass | 8.2 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 0 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 11,384 | 7,412 | 16,259 | 11,553 | 2,698 |
| 104 | 32 | Skeena | 70.9 | 65.9 | 75.7 | 0 | 70.9 | 3 | 98,231 | 91,275 | 104,791 | 98,152 | 4,125 |
| 104 | 32 | Other | 9.4 | 6.6 | 12.8 | 0 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 13,048 | 9,143 | 17,795 | 13,203 | 2,643 |
| 104 | 32 | McDonald | 1.4 | 0 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1,873 | 0 | 5,076 | 2,115 | 1,583 |
| 104 | 32 | Hugh Smith | 2.7 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3,797 | 787 | 7,672 | 3,964 | 2,098 |
| 104 | 32 | Klawock | 1 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1,412 | 209 | 3,687 | 1,608 | 1,092 |
| 104 | 33 | Alaska | 14.2 | 10.5 | 18.7 | 0 | 14.3 | 2.5 | 6,081 | 4,512 | 7,996 | 6,144 | 1,058 |
| 104 | 33 | Nass | 6 | 3.7 | 9 | 0 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 2,588 | 1,585 | 3,835 | 2,632 | 686 |
| 104 | 33 | Skeena | 53.9 | 48.7 | 59.1 | 0 | 53.9 | 3.1 | 23,079 | 20,868 | 25,294 | 23,084 | 1,347 |
| 104 | 33 | Other | 18.9 | 14.7 | 23.5 | 0 | 19 | 2.7 | 8,106 | 6,317 | 10,053 | 8,137 | 1,139 |
| 104 | 33 | McDonald | 1.2 | 0 | 3.6 | 17.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 527 | 0 | 1,542 | 588 | 521 |
| 104 | 33 | Hugh Smith | 0.4 | 0 | 3.1 | 38.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 178 | 0 | 1,332 | 321 | 515 |
| 104 | 33 | Klawock | 4.4 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 0 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 1,872 | 1,059 | 2,958 | 1,922 | 581 |
| 104 | 34 | Alaska | 0.3 | 0 | 2.7 | 32 | 0.7 | 1 | 152 | 0 | 1,498 | 375 | 552 |
| 104 | 34 | Nass | 4.2 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 2,355 | 893 | 4,619 | 2,505 | 1,149 |
| 104 | 34 | Skeena | 41.2 | 33.9 | 48.6 | 0 | 41.2 | 4.5 | 22,959 | 18,891 | 27,089 | 22,974 | 2,495 |
| 104 | 34 | Other | 49.2 | 42 | 56.7 | 0 | 49.3 | 4.4 | 27,439 | 23,414 | 31,567 | 27,452 | 2,474 |
| 104 | 34 | McDonald | 0.6 | 0 | 3.8 | 30.3 | 1 | 1.4 | 314 | 0 | 2,138 | 563 | 784 |
| 104 | 34 | Hugh Smith | 2.4 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1,330 | 286 | 3,195 | 1,485 | 902 |
| 104 | 34 | Klawock | 0.4 | 0 | 2.3 | 19.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 235 | 0 | 1,283 | 368 | 461 |
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| District | Statistical weeks | Reporting group | Stock composition |  |  |  |  |  | Stock-specific harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 90\% CRI |  |  | $P=0$ | Mean | SD | Median | 90\% CRI |  | Mean | SD |
|  |  |  | Median | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  |
| 104 | 35 | Alaska | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 91 | 41 | 2,301 | 522 | 812 |
| 104 | 35 | Nass | 4.7 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3,105 | 1,382 | 5,714 | 3,270 | 1,335 |
| 104 | 35 | Skeena | 45.3 | 38.1 | 52.6 | 0 | 45.3 | 4.4 | 29,814 | 25,121 | 34,625 | 29,835 | 2,895 |
| 104 | 35 | Other | 43.7 | 36.5 | 51.1 | 0 | 43.7 | 4.4 | 28,767 | 24,015 | 33,626 | 28,786 | 2,926 |
| 104 | 35 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 73.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,467 | 0 | 688 |
| 104 | 35 | Hugh Smith | 5 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 0 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 3,317 | 1,378 | 5,965 | 3,444 | 1,414 |
| 104 | 35 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 79.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 202 |
| 104 | 36 | Alaska | 4.5 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 0 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1,214 | 518 | 2,201 | 1,269 | 517 |
| 104 | 36 | Nass | 0.5 | 0 | 2.4 | 15.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 127 | 0 | 630 | 191 | 221 |
| 104 | 36 | Skeena | 37.4 | 30.6 | 44.6 | 0 | 37.5 | 4.3 | 9,984 | 8,172 | 11,901 | 10,004 | 1,136 |
| 104 | 36 | Other | 57 | 49.7 | 64 | 0 | 56.9 | 4.3 | 15,209 | 13,275 | 17,090 | 15,197 | 1,158 |
| 104 | 36 | McDonald | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 76.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 157 |
| 104 | 36 | Hugh Smith | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 71.6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 629 | 31 | 272 |
| 104 | 36 | Klawock | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 79.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 1 | 104 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 496,404 |  |

Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

Appendix G.-Reporting group, location, ADF\&G collection code, and the number ( $n$ ) of sockeye salmon used in the genetic baseline for mixed-stock analysis of the purse seine catch in management Districts 103 and 104.

| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Ahrnklin River | SAHRN07 | 90 |
| Alaska | Akwe River | SAKWE09.SAKWE16 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 186 |
| Alaska | Antler-Gilkey River | SANTGILK13 | 53 |
| Alaska | Bainbridge Lake | SBAIN10 | 95 |
| Alaska | Banana Lake - Klutina | SBANA08 | 80 |
| Alaska | Bar Creek - Essowah Lake | SBAR04 | 95 |
| Alaska | Bartlett River - Creel survey | SBART13 | 69 |
| Alaska | Bear Hole - tributary Klutina | SBEARH08 | 94 |
| Alaska | Bering Lake | SBERI91 | 95 |
| Alaska | Berners River | SBERN03.SBERN13 | 165 |
| Alaska | Big Lake - Ratz Harbor Creek | SBIGLK10.SBIGLA14 | 161 |
| Alaska | Chilkat Lake | SCKAT13 | 189 |
| Alaska | Chilkat Lake early run | SCKAT07E.SCKAT07L | 190 |
| Alaska | Chilkat Mainstem - Bear Flats | SBEARFL07 | 95 |
| Alaska | Chilkat Mainstem - Mosquito Lake | SMOSQ07 | 95 |
| Alaska | Chilkat River - Mule Meadows | SMULE03.SMULE07 | 190 |
| Alaska | Chilkoot Lake - beaches | SCHILB07 | 251 |
| Alaska | Chilkoot Lake - Bear Creek | SCHILBC07 | 233 |
| Alaska | Chilkoot River | SCHIK03 | 159 |
| Alaska | Clear Creek at 40 Mile | SCLEAR07 | 86 |
| Alaska | Coghill Lake | SCOGH91.SCOG92HL.SCOG92ES.SCOGH10 | 378 |
| Alaska | Crescent Lake | SCRES03 | 194 |
| Alaska | Dangerous River | SDANG09 | 95 |
| Alaska | East Alsek River | SEAST03B | 94 |
| Alaska | Eek Creek | SEEK04.SEEK07 | 50 |
| Alaska | Eshamy Creek | SESHAR08.SESHA91 | 185 |
| Alaska | Eyak Lake - Hatchery Creek | SEYAK10 | 95 |
| Alaska | Eyak Lake - Middle Arm | SEYAM07 | 95 |
| Alaska | Eyak Lake - South beaches | SEYASB07 | 87 |
| Alaska | Falls Lake - East Baranof Island | SFALL03.SFALL10 | 190 |
| Alaska | Fillmore Lake - Hoffman Creek | SFILLM05 | 52 |
| Alaska | Fish Creek - off East Fork Gulkana River | SFISHC08 | 95 |
| Alaska | Ford Arm Creek | SFORD13 | 199 |
| Alaska | Ford Arm Lake weir | SFORD04 | 207 |
| Alaska | Gulkana River - East Fork | SGULK08EF | 75 |
| Alaska | Hasselborg Lake | SHASSEL12.SHASSELR13 | 209 |
| Alaska | Hatchery Creek - Sweetwater | SHATC03.SHATC07 | 142 |
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| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Heckman Lake | SHECK04.SHECK07 | 189 |
| Alaska | Helm Lake | SHELM05 | 94 |
| Alaska | Hetta Creek - early run | SHETT10E | 95 |
| Alaska | Hetta Creek - late run | SHETT03.SHETT08.SHETT09L | 281 |
| Alaska | Hetta Creek - middle run | SHETT09M | 95 |
| Alaska | Hoktaheen - marine waters | SHOKTAM14 | 47 |
| Alaska | Hoktaheen - upper lake main inlet | SHOKTAI04 | 47 |
| Alaska | Hoktaheen - upper lake outlet | SHOKTAO04 | 49 |
| Alaska | Italio River | SITAL17 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 41 |
| Alaska | Kah Sheets Lake | SKAHS03 | 96 |
| Alaska | Kanalku Creek | SKANA07.SKANA10.SKANAL13 | 319 |
| Alaska | Kegan Lake | SKEGA04 | 95 |
| Alaska | Klag Bay Stream outlet | SKLAG09 | 200 |
| Alaska | Klakas Lake | SKLAK04 | 95 |
| Alaska | Klutina Lake - inlet | SKLUTI08.SKLUTI09 | 95 |
| Alaska | Klutina River - mainstem | SKLUT08 | 95 |
| Alaska | Kook Lake | SKOOK12E.SKOOK13 | 148 |
| Alaska | Kook Lake - late | SKOOK07.SKOOK10L.SKOOK12L | 194 |
| Alaska | Kunk Lake - Etolin Island system | SKUNK03 | 96 |
| Alaska | Kushtaka Lake | SKUSH07.SKUSH08 | 189 |
| Alaska | Kutlaku Lake | SKUTL03 | 95 |
| Alaska | Kutlaku Lake | SKUTL12 | 78 |
| Alaska | Kutlaku Lake | SKUTL13 | 50 |
| Alaska | Lace River | SLACE13 | 63 |
| Alaska | Lake Creek | SAUKE13baseline.SLAKECR14 | 318 |
| Alaska | Lake Eva | SLEVA12 | 115 |
| Alaska | Long Lake weir | SLONGLK05 | 95 |
| Alaska | Lost/Tahwah Rivers | SLOST03B.SLOST03C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 139 |
| Alaska | Luck Lake - P.O.W. Island | SLUCK04 | 94 |
| Alaska | Mahlo River | SMAHL08 | 94 |
| Alaska | Mahoney Creek | SMAHO03.SMAHO07 | 153 |
| Alaska | Main Bay | SMAIN91 | 96 |
| Alaska | Martin Lake | SMART07.SMART08 | 187 |
| Alaska | Martin River Slough | SMARTR08 | 95 |
| Alaska | McGilvery Creek | SKART92.SMCGI03.SMCGI04.SMCGI16 | 472 |
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| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | McKinley Lake | SMCKI07 | 95 |
| Alaska | McKinley Lake | SMCKI08 | 95 |
| Alaska | McKinley Lake | SMCKI91 | 95 |
| Alaska | McKinley Lake - Salmon Creek | SMCKSC07 | 93 |
| Alaska | Mendeltna Creek | SMEND08.SMEND09 | 188 |
| Alaska | Mentasta Lake | SMENT08 | 95 |
| Alaska | Mill Creek Weir Early - Virginia Lake | SMILLC07E | 94 |
| Alaska | Mill Creek Weir Late - Virginia Lake | SMILLC07L | 95 |
| Alaska | Miners Lake | SMINE91.SMINE09 | 191 |
| Alaska | Necker Bay | SNECKER91.SNECKER93 | 95 |
| Alaska | Neva Lake weir | SNEVA08 | 94 |
| Alaska | Neva Lake weir | SNEVA09.SNEVA13 | 255 |
| Alaska | North Berg Bay inlet | SNBERG91 | 53 |
| Alaska | North Berg Bay inlet | SNBERG92 | 100 |
| Alaska | Old Situk | SOSITU07 | 163 |
| Alaska | Pavlof River | SPAVLOF12.SPAVLOFR13 | 174 |
| Alaska | Paxson Lake - outlet | SPAXSO09 | 75 |
| Alaska | Petersburg Lake | SPETL04 | 95 |
| Alaska | Red Bay Lake | SREDBL04 | 95 |
| Alaska | Redfish Lake Beaches | SREDB93 | 94 |
| Alaska | Redoubt Lake - outlet | SREDOUBT13 | 200 |
| Alaska | Salmon Bay Lake | SSALM04.SSALM07 | 170 |
| Alaska | Salmon Creek - Bremner | SSALMC08 | 93 |
| Alaska | Salmon Lake weir | SSALML07.SSALML08 | 185 |
| Alaska | Sarkar - Five Finger Creek | SSARK00.SSARF05 | 91 |
| Alaska | Seclusion Lake - in lake | SSECLK14.SSECLKIN14 | 117 |
| Alaska | Shipley Lake | SSHIP03 | 94 |
| Alaska | Sitkoh Lake | SSITK03.SSITK11.SSITK12 | 351 |
| Alaska | Situk Lake | SSITU07 | 159 |
| Alaska | Situk Lake | SSITU13 | 190 |
| Alaska | Snettisham Hatchery | SSNET06.SSPEE07 | 190 |
| Alaska | Snettisham Hatchery - Speel Lake | SSPEE13 | 146 |
| Alaska | Sockeye Creek | SSOCK17.SSOCK18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 136 |
| Alaska | Speel Lake | SSPEE03 | 95 |
| Alaska | St. Anne Creek | SSANN05.SSTACR08 | 186 |
| Alaska | Steamboat Lake - Bremner | SSTEAM08 | 95 |
| Alaska | Steep Creek | SSTEE03 | 91 |
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| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Swede Lake | SSWEDE08 | 95 |
| Alaska | Tanada Creek weir | STANA05 | 94 |
| Alaska | Tanada Lake - lower outlet | STANAO09 | 95 |
| Alaska | Tanada Lake - shore | STANAS09 | 93 |
| Alaska | Tawah Creek | STAWA17 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 94 |
| Alaska | Thoms Lake | STHOM04.STHOM14 | 93 |
| Alaska | Tokun Lake | STOKUN08.STOKUN09 | 189 |
| Alaska | Tonsina Lake | STONSL09 | 94 |
| Alaska | Unuk River - Gene's Lake | SGENE07 | 95 |
| Alaska | Unuk River - Gene's Lake | SGENE08 | 69 |
| Alaska | Vivid Lake | SVIVID93 | 48 |
| Alaska | Windfall Lake | SWIND03.SWIND07 | 142 |
| Hugh Smith | Hugh Smith - Cobb Creek | SCOBB07 | 99 |
| Hugh Smith | Hugh Smith Lake | SHSMI92.SHUGH13 | 155 |
| Hugh Smith | Hugh Smith Lake - Bushmann Creek | SHUGH04 | 150 |
| Klawock | Inlet Creek - Klawock | SINCK03.SINCK08.SHALF08 | 212 |
| Klawock | Klawock-Three Mile Creek | STHRE04.STHRE10 | 181 |
| McDonald | McDonald Lake - Hatchery Creek | SMCDO01.SMCDO03.SMCDO07.SMCDO13 | 368 |
| Nass | Nass - Bonney Creek | SBONN01.SBONN12 | 164 |
| Nass | Nass - Bowser Lake | SBOWS01 | 94 |
| Nass | Nass - Damdochax Creek | SDAMD01 | 93 |
| Nass | Nass - Gingit Creek | SGING97 | 94 |
| Nass | Nass - Hanna Creek | SHANNA06 | 93 |
| Nass | Nass - Kwinageese | SKWIN01.SKWIN12U | 76 |
| Nass | Nass - Meziadin Beach | SMERI01.SMEZIB06 | 186 |
| Nass | Nass - Tintina Creek | STINT06 | 94 |
| Nass | Skeena - Kispiox River | SKISP02 | 53 |
| Other | Stikine - Chutine Lake | SCHUTL09.SCHUT11 | 224 |
| Other | Taku - King Salmon Lake | SKSLK10.SKSLK11 | 214 |
| Other | Taku - Kuthai Lake | SKUTH06 | 171 |
| Other | Taku - Tatsatua Lake (Tatsatua) | SLTAT11.SLTAT12 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 153 |
| Other | Taku - Little Trapper | SLTRA90.SLTRA06 | 237 |
| Other | Stikine - Andy Smith Slough | SFOWL07.SFOWL08.SFOWL09.SANDY07.SANDY09 | 54 |
| Other | Stikine - Bronson Slough | SBRON08.SBRON09 | 78 |
| Other | Stikine - Christina Lake | SCHRI11.SCHRI12 | 70 |
| Other | Stikine - Chutine River | SCHUT08 | 94 |
| Other | Stikine - Craig River | SCRAIG06.SCRAIG07.SCRAIG08 | 38 |
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| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other | Stikine - Devil's Elbow | SDEVIL07.SDEVIL08 | 148 |
| Other | Stikine - Devil's Elbow | SDEVIL09 | 53 |
| Other | Stikine - Iskut River | SISKU85.SISKU86.SISKU02.SISKU06.SISKU08.SISKU09 | 153 |
| Other | Stikine - Iskut River (Craigson Slough) | SISKU07 | 42 |
| Other | Stikine - Porcupine River | SPORCU07.SPORCU11 | 74 |
| Other | Stikine - Scud River | SSCUD07.SSCUD08.SSCUD09 | 191 |
| Other | Stikine - Shakes Slough Creek | SSHAKS06.SSHAKES07.SSHAKS09 | 67 |
| Other | Taku - Fish Creek | SFISHCR09.SFISHCR10 | 159 |
| Other | Taku - Hackett River | SHACK08 | 52 |
| Other | Taku - Sustahine Slough | SSUSTA08.SSHUST09 | 185 |
| Other | Taku - Tulsequah River | STULS07.STULS08.STULS09 | 156 |
| Other | Taku - Tuskwa Creek | STUCH08.SCHUNK09.STUSK08.SBEARSL09.STUSKS08.S TUSKS09 | 356 |
| Other | Taku - Yehring Creek | SYEHR07.SYEHR09 | 171 |
| Other | Taku - Yellow Bluff | SYELLB08.SYELLB10.SYELLB11 | 81 |
| Other | Taku Mainstem - Taku River | STAKU07 | 95 |
| Other | Taku Mainstem - Takwahoni/Sinwa | STAKWA09 | 67 |
| Other | Taku - Nahlin River | SNAHL03.SNAHL04.SNAHL05.SNAHL06.SNAHL07.SNAH L12 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 341 |
| Other | Taku - Tatsamenie Lake | STATS05.STATS06 | 288 |
| Other | Alsek - Blanchard River | SBLAN07 | 89 |
| Other | Alsek - Blanchard River | SBLAN09 | 62 |
| Other | Alsek - Border Slough | SBORD07.SBORD08 | 71 |
| Other | Alsek - Border Slough | SBORD09.SBORD11 | 70 |
| Other | Alsek - Datlasaka Creek | SDATLAS12 | 95 |
| Other | Alsek - Goat Creek | SGOATC07.SGOATC12 | 56 |
| Other | Alsek - Klukshu River | SKLUK07 | 94 |
| Other | Alsek - Klukshu River Weir late | SKLUK06 | 95 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat (Little Tatshenshini Lake) | SLTATS01.SLTATS03 | 65 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat (Tatshenshini) Bridge/Silver | SBRIDGE11.SBRIDGE12 | 105 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat (Tatshenshini) Kwatini | SKWAT11 | 65 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat (Tatshenshini) Stinky Creek | SSTINKY11 | 40 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat (Upper Tatshenshini) | SUTATS03 | 95 |
| Other | Alsek - Kudwat Creek (Tatshenshini) | SKUDW09.SKUDW10.SKUDW11 | 100 |
| Other | Alsek - Neskataheen Lake | SNESK07 | 195 |
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| Reporting group | Location | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other | Alsek - Tweedsmuir | STWEED07 | 48 |
| Other | Alsek - Tweedsmuir | STWEED09 | 46 |
| Other | Alsek - Vern Ritchie | SVERNR09.SVERNR10 | 114 |
| Other | Bloomfield Lake | SBLOOM05 | 93 |
| Other | Central - Kitlope Lake | SKITL06 | 95 |
| Other | Central Coast - Amback Creek | SAMBA04 | 91 |
| Other | Columbia River - Okanagan River | SOKAN02 | 95 |
| Other | Fraser - Adams River - Shuswap late | SLADA02.SADAM07 | 187 |
| Other | Fraser - Birkenhead | SBIRK07 | 90 |
| Other | Fraser - Chilko Lake | SCHILK01 | 87 |
| Other | Fraser - Chilliwack Lake | SCHILW04 | 89 |
| Other | Fraser - Cultus Lake | SCULT02 | 91 |
| Other | Fraser - Fraser Lake | SFRAS96 | 85 |
| Other | Fraser - Gates Creek | SGATES09 | 90 |
| Other | Fraser - Harrison River | SHARR07 | 95 |
| Other | Fraser - Lower Horsefly River | SLHOR01.SUHOR01.SHORSE07 | 274 |
| Other | Fraser - Middle Shuswap River | SMSHU02 | 91 |
| Other | Fraser - Nahatlatch - Nahatlatch River | SNAHAT02 | 92 |
| Other | Fraser - North Thompson | SNTHOM05 | 95 |
| Other | Fraser - Raft River | SRAFT01 | 84 |
| Other | Fraser - Scotch River | SSCOT00 | 91 |
| Other | Fraser - Stellako River | SSTEL07 | 94 |
| Other | Fraser - Tachie River | STACH01 | 94 |
| Other | Fraser - Trembleur - Kynock | SKYNO97 | 94 |
| Other | Fraser - Weaver Creek | SWEAV01 | 88 |
| Other | Great Central Lake | SGCENLK02 | 95 |
| Other | Issaquah Creek - Puget Sound Drainage | SISSA96 | 82 |
| Other | Kitimat River | SKITIM10 | 93 |
| Other | Lake Pleasant - Soleduck River | SLAKE97 | 76 |
| Other | Lake Wenatchee | SWENA98 | 95 |
| Other | Mitchell River | SMITCH01 | 94 |
| Other | QCI - Naden River | SNADE95 | 95 |
| Other | QCI - Yakoun Lake | SYAKO93 | 70 |
| Other | Stikine - Little Tahltan | SLTAH90 | 95 |
| Other | Stikine - Tahltan Lake | STAHL06 | 196 |
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| Reporting <br> group | Location |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Other | Tankeeah River | ADF\&G collection code | $n$ |
| Other | Tankeeah River | STANK03 | 47 |
| Other | Vancouver Island - Quatse River | STANK05 | 47 |
| Skeena | Kitwanga River | SQUAT03 | 95 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Alastair Lake | SKITW12 | 92 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Four Mile Creek | SALAS87.SALAS06 | 118 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Fulton River | SFMILE06 | 85 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Grizzly Creek | SFULT06 | 95 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Kitsumkalum Lake | SGRIZ87 | 76 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Kitsumkalum Lake | SKALUM06 | 56 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Lakelse Lake (Williams) | SKALUM12 | 94 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Lower Tahlo River | SLAKEL06 | 93 |
| Skeena | Skeena - McDonell Lake (Zymoetz River) | SLTAH94 | 78 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Morrison | SMCDON02.SMCDON06 | 131 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Motase Lake | SMORR07 | 92 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Nangeese River | SMOTA87 | 47 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Nanika River | SNANG06 | 40 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Pierre Creek | SNANI88.SNANI07 | SPIER06 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Pinkut Creek | SPINK94.SPINK06 | 913 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Salix Bear | SSALIX87.SSALIX88 | SSLAM06 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Slamgeesh River | SSTECR01 | 95 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Stephens Creek | SSUST01 | 187 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Sustut River | SSWANLK06 | 94 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Swan Lake | STAHLO07 | 95 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Tahlo Creek | SUBAB06 | 95 |
| Skeena | Skeena - Upper Babine River |  | 99 |

a These populations were added, or additional collections were pooled with existing populations, between the 2018/2019 analysis and the 2020/2021 analysis.


[^0]:    1 Klawock Lake Sockeye Salmon Stakeholder Meeting held in Klawock 14-15 November 2017 (http://www.seakfhp.org/klawock-lake-sockeye-salmon-stakeholder-meeting-fall-2017/).

[^1]:    2 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fishery Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratories, Ted Stevens Marine Research Institute, Juneau, Alaska.

[^2]:    3 R Core Team. 2022. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.

[^3]:    Note: Harvest from unsampled weeks was not included in estimates.

[^4]:    Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.

[^5]:    Note: Stock composition estimates may not sum to $100 \%$ and stock-specific harvest estimates may not sum to the total harvest due to rounding error.
    a The total does not include 80 fish harvested in statistical week 35 .
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